



C.R.P.(PD)(MD)No.1071 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 05.08.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SOUNTHAR

C.R.P.(PD)(MD)No.1071 of 2026
and C.M.P.(MD)Nos.5017 and 9863 of 2026

1.Singaraj

2.T.Gowtham

... Petitioners

Vs

1.R.Bose

2.Ramathal

3.Kannammal

4.Muthammal

5.Chinnathayi

6.Palpandi

7.Pappa

8.Duraipandi

9.Ramar

10.Jeyamani

11.Asodai

... Respondents



C.R.P.(PD)(MD)No.1071 of 2026

PRAYER: Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of Constitution of India, to allow this civil revision petition setting aside the order dated 02-04-2026 made in I.A.No.8/2026 in O.S.No.243/2008 on the file of the Principal District Munsif Court, Thirumangalam.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.G.Shankar Ganesh

For Respondents : Mr.K.S.Sreenivasan

ORDER

This Civil Revision Petition is filed challenging the order passed by the trial Court dismissing the application filed by the petitioners/defendants 1 and 12 seeking reception of document, which has not been filed along with the original written statement.

2. The first respondent herein filed a suit for specific performance against the petitioners and the other respondents. Pending suit, the second respondent said to have purchased the property and hence, he was impleaded as 12th defendant on 09.06.2022. Later he filed the written statement. However, the second petitioner failed to file the pata stands in his name along with the written statement. Therefore, the instant application has been filed seeking to receive the same. The trial



C.R.P.(PD)(MD)No.1071 of 2026

Court, by the impugned order, dismissed the application and aggrieved by the same, the petitioners have come before this Court.

3. It is seen from the records that the first respondent herein filed a suit seeking specific performance of the agreement dated 09.03.2005. The second petitioner said to have purchased the property from the first petitioner subsequent to the filing of the suit. In such circumstances, mutation of revenue records in the name of the second petitioner is not going to help the Court in any way to decide the issues involved in a suit for specific performance of the agreement between the plaintiff and the first defendant. Therefore, the trial Court rightly dismissed the application. I do not find any error or illegality in the impugned order.

4. Accordingly, this Civil Revision Petition stands dismissed. No costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

05.08.2026

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
vsm



WEB COPY



C.R.P.(PD)(MD)No.1071 of 2026

S.SOUNTHAR, J.

vsm

To

1. The Principal District Munsif Court,
Thirumangalam.
2. The Section Officer,
VR Section,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

C.R.P.(PD)(MD)No.1071 of 2026

05.08.2026