

W.P.(MD)No.6009 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 06.07.2026

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MRS JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY**

**WP(MD) No.6009 of 2026**

K.Kandasamy

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The District Revenue Officer  
Karur District  
District Collectorate  
Thanthonimalai  
Karur.

2. Dhanalakshmi

3. Eswaran

4. Thulasimani

5. Kandasamy

6. Palanisamy

7. Palanisamy

8. Gowthaman

Respondent(s)


**For Petitioner(s): Mr.K.Suresh**

**For Respondent(s): Mr.I.Pinaygash**

Counsel for the State (For R1)

Mr.A.Mohamed Haneef (For R2)

Mr.S.Srinivasa Raghavan (For R3, R6 and R8)



W.P.(MD)No.6009 of 2026

WEB COPY

**Prayer:** Writ Petition, filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this court to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records relating to the impugned proceedings of the 1st Respondent in Pa.Mu.C1/13429/2024 dated 01.11.2025 and quash the same as illegal, arbitrary, without jurisdiction and passed in violation of principles of natural justice and consequently direct the 1st Respondent to include the name of the Petitioner in Patta No.4893 pertaining to S.F.No.1200/4 of Vettamangalam West Village, Pugalur Taluk, Karur District.

### **ORDER**

This Court, vide order, dated 16.03.2026 directed the second respondent not to restrain the petitioner and the other landowner from using the Survey Nos.1200/1 to 1200/10 as cart track. The second respondent is claiming right over Survey No.1200/4, whereas the said survey field is a cart track used by several landowners. The petitioner submitted that the second respondent has erected a gate, thereby blocking the cart track.

2. The learned Counsel appearing for the second respondent submitted that the gate was erected prior to the interim order passed by this Court and in fact, erected even prior to the order dated 01.11.2025 passed by the District Revenue Officer.



W.P.(MD)No.6009 of 2026

WEB COPY

3. This Court is of the considered opinion that there is an interim order in force. Even if the gate had been erected prior to the passing of the interim order, the second respondent is bound to remove the gate in compliance with the interim order. Therefore, this Court directs the second respondent to remove the gate forthwith and report compliance before this Court on or before 23.07.2026. The first respondent is directed to file a counter affidavit.

4. Interim order already granted by this Court is extended till 23.07.2026.

5. Post the matter on **23.07.2026**.

**06.07.2026**

jbr

To  
The District Revenue Officer  
Karur District, District Collectorate  
Thanthonimalai, Karur.

3/4



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.6009 of 2026

**S.SRIMATHY, J.**

jbr

**WP(MD) No.6009 of 2026**

**06.07.2026**