

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 04.11.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SENTHILKUMAR RAMAMOORTHY

W.P.(MD)No.5688 of 2025
and
W.M.P(MD)No.4149 of 2025

Umamaheswari

...Petitioner

Vs

1. The District Collector,
Collectorate,
Ramanathapuram District.

2. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Revenue Divisional Office,
Ramanathapuram.

3. The Tahsildhar,
Ramanathapuram Taluk,
Ramanathapuram District.

4. A.Rajathi

...Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the impugned records of the 2nd respondent herein in his proceedings in Pa.Mu.A6/4921/2024 dated 26.11.2024 and quash the same as illegal and further directing the 2 and 3 Respondents herein to restore the Field Measurement Book in its original position with respect to the land in S.No.60/2A2 to an extent of 1 Acre 46 1/2 Cents in Valantharavai Village, Ramanathapuram Taluk, Ramanathapuram District within a time stipulated by this Court.



WEB COPY




For Petitioner : M/s.S.Vashik Ali
For Respondents : Mr.B.Saravanan
Additional Government Pleader
for R1 to R3
Mr.J.Barathan for R4

ORDER

The grievance raised by the petitioner is that the impugned order was issued by revising the eastern boundary of the petitioner's land in S.No.60/2A2 without even conducting a survey.

2. Learned counsel for the 4th respondent submits that a survey was conducted. He also relies upon a document obtained under the RTI Act to contend that a survey was done using “Collabland” app. He submits that the eastern boundary of S.No.60/2A2 was wrongly shown in the earlier FMB sketch as 50.8 metres, whereas the corrected FMB shows it as 45 metres. On the basis of the corrected FMB, he states that the extent of the petitioner's land would be 59 ares as per the subdivision effected in 1992. Similarly, he submits that the extent of the 4th respondent would be 59.5 ares as per the corrected FMB.



3. In order to give a quietus to this matter, the Tahsildar is directed to undertake a fresh survey after issuing notice to the petitioner and the 4th respondent. The survey report shall be placed on record at the next hearing.

4. List the matter on 18.11.2025.

04.11.2025

RJR

To

1. The District Collector,
Collectorate,
Ramanathapuram District.
2. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Revenue Divisional Office,
Ramanathapuram.
3. The Tahsildhar,
Ramanathapuram Taluk,
Ramanathapuram District.



WEB COPY



SENTHILKUMAR RAMAMOORTHY, J.

RJR

W.P.(MD)No.5688 of 2025

04.11.2025