



HCP(MD)No.169 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 30.04.2026

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N. ANAND VENKATESH
AND
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.K.RAMAKRISHNAN**

H.C.P.(MD)No.169 of 2026

K.Queen

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The State of Tamilnadu,
Rep By The Additional Chief Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Dept,
Secretariat,
Chennai - 9..

2. The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Thoothukudi District..

3. The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison,
Palayamkottai,
Tirunelveli..

4. The Superintendent,
District Jail,
Perurani,
Thoothukudi District..

... Respondents

PRAYER :-Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to



HCP(MD)No.169 of 2026

issue a writ of Habeas Corpus to call for the entire records connected with the detention order passed in H.S.(M). Confdl No.134/2025 dated 29-11-2025 on the file of the 2nd respondent herein and quash the same and direct the respondents to produce the detenu or body of detenu..namely the petitioner's husband ie., Kannaperumal, aged about 26 years, S/o.Ganesan now detained at the district Jail, Perurani Thoothukudi District before this court and set him at liberty forthwith.

For Petitioner : M/s.N.Pragalathan

For Respondents : Mr.A.Thiruvadikumar
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by N. ANAND VENKATESH, J.)

The petitioner is the wife of the detenu viz.,Kannaperumal S/o.Ganesan aged about 26 years. The detenu has been detained by the second respondent by his order in H.S.(M). Confdl No.134/2025 dated 29-11-2025 holding him to be a "Goonda", as contemplated under Section 2(f) of Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982. The said order is under challenge in this Habeas Corpus Petition.

2. We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents.



HCP(MD)No.169 of 2026

3. Apart from the other grounds that were raised by the learned counsel for the petitioner, one of the ground that was raised is that the detaining authority had stated that the remand was extended upto 25.11.2025 and thereafter it was extended up to 09.12.2025. However, the material that was placed before this Court is only a requisition from the jail authority and no remand extension order was placed before this Court. Therefore, it is contended that such a satisfaction has been arrived at by the detaining authority without any supporting materials.

4. We have carefully gone through the paper book and we find that for the remand extension, only requisition is available from the jail authority and no remand extension is available in the paper book. Therefore, the satisfaction arrived at by the detaining authority is without the support of any materials. Consequently, it suffers from non application of mind. Accordingly, the detention order is liable to be quashed.

5.. In the result, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the order of detention in H.S.(M). Confdl No.134/2025 dated 29-11-2025 passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu, viz., Kannaperumal



HCP(MD)No.169 of 2026

S/o.Ganesan aged about 26 years, is directed to be released forthwith unless

his detention is required in connection with any other case.

(N.A.V.,J.) (K.K.R.K,J.)

30.04.2026

Index : Yes/No

Internet : Yes/No

RR

To

1The Additional Chief Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Dept,
Secretariat, Chennai - 9..

2. The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Thoothukudi District..

3. The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison, Palayamkottai,
Tirunelveli..

4. The Superintendent,
District Jail, Perurani,
Thoothukudi District

5.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



HCP(MD)No.169 of 2026

**N. ANAND VENKATESH,J.
AND
K.K.RAMAKRISHNAN, J.**

RR

H.C.P.(MD)No.169 of 2026

30.04.2026