



SA(MD).No.92



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 23.06.2026

WEB COPY

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B.BALAJI

SA(MD) No.92 of 2023 and
CMP(MD).Nos.2215 and 2216 of 2023 and 12939 of 2025 and
Cont P(MD).No.1526 of 2023

1.Chinnaraj
2. Aatchimuthu

.. Appellants / plaintiffs
in S.A(MD).No.92 of 2023
Petitioners in Contempt Petition


Vs.

1. Iyyer
2.Duraipandi
3.Thangapandi @ Pillaiyan
4.Mottaikida @ Chinnappan

.. Respondents / defendants
in S.A(MD).No.92 of 2023
Respondents in Contempt Petition

Prayer in SA(MD).No.92 of 2023: Second Appeal filed under Section 100 CPC against the Judgment and Decree dated 09.09.2022 passed in A.S.No.56 of 2018 before the Additional Sub Court, Dindigul confirming the Judgment and Decree dated 16.03.2018 passed in O.S.No.44 of 2015 on the file of the District Munsif Court, Nilakottai.

Prayer in Cont P(MD).No.1520 of 2023 : This petition filed under Section 11 of the Contempts of Courts Act to punish the Contemnors / Respondents herein for their deliberate and Willful disobedience of the order of this Court dated 22.02.2023 passed in CMP(MD).No.2215 of 2023 in SA(MD).No. 92 of 2023.



WEB COPY

SA(MD).No.92



For Appellants in Second appeal : Ms.K. Shwathini

Petitioners in Cont. P.

for Mr.G. Prabhurajadurai

For Respondents 1, 3 and 4 in Second Appeal

/ respondents in Cont. P.

: Mr.B. Rajesh Saravanan

For 2nd respondent

: No appearance

JUDGMENT

Heard the learned counsel for the appellants and the learned counsel for respondents 1 to 3.

2. The learned counsel for the appellants / petitioners, Ms. K. Shwathini, invited my attention to paragraph 23 of the judgment dated 30.04.2026 and submitted that this Court had directed the respondents / defendants to make an application for a free electricity service connection in their names. It was further submitted that no specific time frame had been fixed for making such an application and, therefore, there is an apprehension on the part of the appellants that the respondents may unduly delay compliance with the said direction.

3. Per contra, Mr. Rajesh Saravanan, learned counsel appearing for the respondents, on instructions, submitted that an application has already been made for obtaining the electricity service connection in the respondents' names.

4. However, considering the fact that the appellants may not be aware of the status of such application, it is made clear that, if no application has already been made, the defendants/respondents shall make a fresh application within a period of four weeks from today. Until such application is made and the



SA(MD).No.92



respondents successfully obtain the electricity service connection in their names, the arrangement contemplated in paragraph 23 of the judgment dated 30.04.2026 shall continue.

WEB COPY

23.06.2026

Neutral Citation Case : Yes / No
Speaking / Non-speaking order
Index : Yes/No
trp

To

1. The Additional Sub Court, Dindigul.
2. The District Munsif Court, Nilakottai.