



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

**DATED: 14.08.2026**

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.KUMARESH BABU**

**Rev.Aplc(MD)Nos.7 & 8 of 2026  
and CMP (MD)No.1012 of 2026**

Anitha R.Sivanantham Nadar,  
S/o.Ramamoorthy

..Petitioner in both  
applications

Vs

C.Kamaraj Nadar (died)

1. N.Selvakumar Nadar  
S/o.E.Nadesan Nadar

2. S.Sundarraaj @ Sundar Nadar  
S/o.M.Santhosanadar

3. P.Rajasekar Nadar  
S/o.S.Poovalingam Nadar

4. K.Usha,  
W/o.Late C.Kamaraj Nadar

5. E.Packiyaraj  
S/o.Esakkipandi Nadar

..Respondents in both  
applications

For Applicant : Mr.H.Arumugam

For Respondent : No appearance



**Common Prayer:** Review Applications filed under Order XL Rule 1 and 2 read with Section 114 of CPC, to set aside the order passed in CRP(MD)Nos.3363 & 3364 of 2025 on 09.01.2026 by allowing the above review applications.

### **COMMON ORDER**

These applications have been filed to set aside the order passed in CRP(MD)Nos.3363 & 3364 of 2025 on 09.01.2026 by allowing the above review applications,

2. Relying upon Section 55 of the Tamil Nadu Societies Registration Act, 1975, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that, as the period of elected members have already been over, there is nothing further to be adjudicated in the suit and hence, he seeks to review the order by rejecting the plaint as being infructuous.

3. It is to be noted that the very same argument was made before this Court in the civil revision petition and the same had been considered at length by this Court. This Court had categorically held that, an issue of conduct of extraordinary general body meeting would be crucial for the future elections that has to be conducted. There is disputes with regard to the elections that was conducted on 03.05.2025 and only in that regard, this Court had not entertain



the revision petition. Therefore, re-agitating the very same argument, even if supported by various judgments, does not establish an error apparent on the face of the record warranting a review of the order.

4. For the foregoing reasons, this Court do not find any reason to review the order passed by this court and accordingly, these review petitions stand dismissed. No costs. Connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

**14.08.2026**

mp



WEB COPY

Rev.Applc(MD)Nos.7 & 8 of 2026



**K.KUMARESH BABU J.**

mp

**Rev.Applc(MD)Nos.7 & 8 of 2026**



WEB COPY

Rev.Appl(MD)Nos.7 & 8 of 20



14.08.2026