

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

Friday, the Seventh day of January Two Thousand and Twenty Two

PRESENT

WEB COPY

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice B.PUGALENDHI

WP(MD) No.226 of 2022

RAMA SRIDHARAN

... PETITIONER

Vs

1 THE REGIONAL DIRECTOR
REGIONAL OFFICE,
EMPLOYEES STATE INSURANCE CORPORATION,
PANCHADEEP BHAWAN,
143, STERLING ROAD,
CHENNAI - 600034


2 THE RECOVERY OFFICER
SUB-REGIONAL OFFICE,
EMPLOYEES STATE INSURANCE CORPORATION,
39/57, THEERTHAMALAI
VANIGA VALAGAM,
THREE ROADS, SALEM - 636 009.

3 THE BRANCH MANAGER
THE TAMIL NADU MERCANTILE BANK LTD.,
BIKSHANDAR KOIL BRANCH,
69/2C, LALGUDI MAIN ROAD,
MENAKA NAGAR, NO.1 TOLLGATE,
BIKSHANDARKOIL,
TRICHY - 62

4 THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE
MELAPUDUR,
CANTONMENT,
TRICHY - 620 001

... RESPONDENTS

Petition filed praying that in the circumstances stated therein and in the affidavit filed therewith the High Court may be pleased to issue WRIT OF CERTIORARI by calling for the entire records pertaining to the proceedings of the 2nd Respondent in No.SLM/RECY/45(G)/63-000-96003-00-0699 dated 11/11/2021 and consequential proceedings in NO.SLM/RECY/CP26/63-000-96003-00-0699/ dated 02/12/2021 and quash the same.



ORDER : This petition coming up for orders on this day, upon perusing the petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of M/S.PITCHAI MUTHU.M, Advocate for the petitioner and of Mr.V.S.KARTHI, Advocate on behalf of the Respondents 1 & 2 and Mr.N.DILIP KUMAR, Advocate for the 3rd respondent and Mr.S.SAJI BINO, Special Government Pleader for the 4th respondent, the Court made the following order:-

This writ petition is filed as against the order of the second respondent in No.SLM/RECY/45/(G)/63-000-96003-00-0699, dated 11.11.2021, and consequential proceedings in No.SLM/ RECY/ CP26/ 63-000-96003-00-0699 dated 02.12.2021.

2.The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for the show cause notice issued by the respondents, the petitioner has already submitted his reply along with the written submission. However, without considering the same, the respondent passed the impugned order of attachment.

3.Mr.V.S.Karthi, learned counsel, who takes notice for respondents 1 & 2 submits that the order has been passed, only after considering the reply given by the petitioner.

4.It appears that though the learned counsel for the respondents 1 & 2 submits that the reply of the petitioner was considered, while passing the impugned order, there is no reference about the same in the impugned order.

5.Therefore, this Court is satisfied with the case of the petitioner. There shall be an order of interim stay till 16.02.2022.

6.Post the matter on **16.02.2022**.

sd/-

07/01/2022

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2022

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE
MELAPUDUR,
CANTONMENT,
TRICHY - 620 001

ORDER

IN

WP(MD) No.226 of 2022

Date :07/01/2022