



WEB COPY

CRP No. 696 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 17-02-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE S. SOUNTHAR

CRP No. 696 of 2026 and

CMP.No.3687 of 2026

1. P. Sebastain Raja
2. Mrs.Hilda

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. Ramya Gunasekaran @ Maria Ramya
2. Robin Rajasekar

..Respondent(s)

Prayer:- Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India praying to pass an order to strike off the proceedings in DVC No.20 of 2025 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate at Coonoor, The Nilgiris.

For Petitioner(s): M/S.C.Raghavan

ORDER

The civil revision petition has been filed seeking to strike off the complaint preferred by the 1st respondent under the provisions of Domestic Violence Act.



WEB COPY

2. The petitioners are parents-in-law of the 1st respondent.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that in the complaint preferred by the 1st respondent, there is no specific allegations against the petitioners. Therefore, the learned Magistrate ought not have issued notice to the petitioners.

4. The Full Bench of this Court in the case of ***Arul Daniel and Others Versus Suganya reported in (2022) SCC Online Mad 5435*** held that any person aggrieved by the process issued by the Magistrate can go before the very same Magistrate and raise preliminary objections with regard to the issues like existence of a shared household/ domestic relationship etc., If any order is passed, the aggrieved person can also take recourse to an appeal under Section 29 of the Domestic Violence Act. The relevant portion reads as follows:-

87(vii). *As there is no issuance of process as contemplated under Section 204, Cr.P.C. in a proceeding under the D.V. Act, the principle laid down in Adalat Prasad v. Rooplal Jindal ((2004) 7 SCC 338) that a process, under Section 204, Cr.P.C, once issued cannot be reviewed or recalled, will not apply to a proceeding under the D.V. Act. Consequently, it would be open to an aggrieved respondent (s) to approach the Magistrate and raise the issue of maintainability and other preliminary issues. Issues like the existence of a shared household/domestic relationship etc., which form the jurisdictional basis for entertaining an application under*



WEB COPY



Section 12, can be determined as a preliminary issue, in appropriate cases. Any person aggrieved by such an order may also take recourse to an appeal under Section 29 of the D.V. Act for effective redress (See V.K. Vijayalekshmi Amma v. Bindu V., (2010) 87 AIC 367). This would stem the deluge of petitions challenging the maintainability of an application under Section 12 of the D.V. Act, at the threshold before this Court under Article 227 of the Constitution.

5. In view of the availability of alternative remedy before Magistrate, as held by Full Bench in **Arul Daniel** case, this Court is not inclined to exercise supervisory power under Article 227 of the Constitution of India. Further, the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Virudhunagar Nadargal Dharma Paripalana Shabha Vs Tuticorin Educational Society** reported in **MANU/SC/1365/2019** held that availability of alternative remedy before regular Courts, is near total bar for exercise of supervisory power by High Court. Hence, I am not inclined to interfere in revision.

6. Accordingly, the Civil Revision petition stands dismissed with liberty to the petitioners to approach the concerned Magistrate for getting appropriate remedy in terms of order passed in **Arul Daniel** case cited supra.

7. Having regard to the fact the complaint preferred under Section 12 of Domestic Violence Act is predominantly civil in nature, this Court is inclined to



dispense with the personal appearance of the petitioners before the learned Magistrate unless it is absolutely necessary. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petition is closed. No costs.

17-02-2026

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

nr

To

The Judicial Magistrate, Coonoor, The Nilgiris.



WEB COPY

CRP No. 696 of 2



S.SOUNTHAR, J.

NR

CRP No. 696 of 2026 and

CMP.No.3687 of 2026

17-02-2026