



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 23-07-2026

WEB COPY

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY

WP No. 1300 of 2026

1. J.A.Afsal Hasan Sahib
Nagore Dargha Share Holder, S/o.
J.Amirudeen Sahib, No. 28, Manavara
North Street, Nagore 611 002 ,
Nagapattinam district

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The Scheme Judge
Nagapattinam District court, Court
complex, Nagapattinam district. (THE
WRIT PETITION IS DISMISSED AS
AGAINST THE FIRST
RESPONDENT VIDE ORDER
DATED 21.01.2026 MADE IN
WP.1300/2026 BY DBCJ)

2.TheTamil Nadu Wakf Board
Rep. by its Chairman, No.1 Jaffer
Syrang Street, Mannady, Chennai 01

3.Syed Mohamed Kazi Hussain Sahib
Managing Trustee, Rep. by Board of
Trustees, Nagore Dargha office, Nagore
611 002

4.Haji Syed Mohamed Kalifa Sahib
President Rep. by advisory Board,
Nagore Dargha office, Nagore 611 002

5.Anbalagan
Manager, Nagore Dargha office,



Nagore 611 002

6.Kalifa Mastan Sahib

Nagore Dargha Trustee, No. 9

Thalaimattu Street, Nagore 611 002

Nagapattinam district

Respondent(s)

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India, praying to direct the 1st and 2nd respondents to consider petitioner representation dated 15.02.2025 and to take action against 6th respondent matter known to the law and pass final order and pass final order.

For Petitioner : Mr. S.A. Mahisha Kirithika

ORDER

Today, this matter is listed under the caption "For Being Mentioned" at the instance of the learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in Paragraph No. 2 of the order dated 22.01.2026, the court recorded that the allegation is against the "trustees". It is submitted that the allegation is actually against the 6th respondent, who is a "Khalifa" (an employee) and not a trustee, and this recording causes confusion. Hence, he prays for the substitution of Paragraph No. 2.

3. In view of the submissions made, Paragraph No. 2 of the order dated 22.01.2026 is hereby recalled and substituted as follows:

"2. Upon hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner, the grievance of the petitioner is that the petitioner has made a representation by bringing to the



notice with reference to certain allegations against the 6th respondent Khalifa Mastan Sahib and also about the expenditures incurred."

WEB COPY

4. The rest of the order dated 22.01.2026 remains unaltered. The registry is directed to issue a fresh, corrected copy of the order to all parties.

23-07-2026

PBL

Neutral Citation: No



WEB COPY

WP No. 1300 of 2026



**D.BHARATHA
CHAKRAVARTHY J.**

PBL

WP No. 1300 of 2026

23-07-2026