



SA.No.856 of 2025


WEB COPY

T.V.THAMILSELVI, J.

Challenging the concurrent findings of the Courts below, the defendant has preferred this appeal.

2. The learned counsel for the appellant submitted that the Courts below failed to appreciate the family arrangement relied on by the appellant, who is the father of the respondents. The properties were already partitioned under the family arrangement, however, the Courts below failed to appreciate the same.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the caveators/respondents raised objections stating that, based on the unregistered family arrangement, the appellant denied the rights of the respondents, who are the son and daughter of the appellant, in respect of the joint family properties. It was further submitted that the Courts below rightly held that the alleged family arrangement is a manipulated document.



WEB COPY

4. Considering the fact that the dispute is between a father and his 2 children in respect of the joint family properties and with a view to settle the matter amicably, all the parties are directed to appear before this Court on 21.01.2026.

Post the matter on 21.01.2026.

pvs

05.01.2026



WEB COPY



T.V.THAMILSELVI, J.

pvs

SA.No.856 of 2025

05.01.2026