



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 14-11-2025

WEB COPY

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE V. LAKSHMINARAYANAN

WP No. 44115 of 2025

G.Philip @ Phlipraj

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The District Collector/ Inspector Of Panchayat,
Kanchipuram District, Kanchipuram.
2. The Tahsildar
Uthiramerur Taluk, Kanchipuram District.
3. The Block Development Officer
Uthiramerur Taluk, Kanchipuram District.
4. The Village Administration Officer
Ammaiyappanallur Village, Uthiramerur Taluk,
Kanchipuram District.
5. The Panchayat Secretary,
Ammaiyappanallur Village Panchayat,
Uthiramerur Taluk, Kanchipuram District.

..Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s): Mr.A.Ilayaperumal

For Respondent(s): Mr.L.S.M.Hasan Fizal
Additional Government Pleader



ORDER

WEB COPY

Mr.L.S.M.Hasan Fizal, learned Additional Government Pleader accepts notice for the respondents.

2. The petitioner is the owner of the property situated at No.10, Mettu Street, Ammaiyappanallur Village, Uthiramerur Taluk, Kanchipuram District. He is a senior citizen. The petitioner's brother is one Anthonysamy. The petitioner alleges that on the instigation of certain persons inimically disposed towards him and his brother, a panchayat tank was attempted to be put up in the land reserved as natham, in an area which belongs to him. This constrained the petitioner to file a suit in O.S.No.34 of 2020 on the file of the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate at Uthiramerur. He was successful in obtaining an interim order and the same was made absolute on 15.09.2022.

3. The petitioner's brother Anthonysamy initiated a writ petition in W.P.No.7495 of 2021. Initially, an interim order was granted by this Court. At the time of final disposal, the Hon'ble Mrs. Justice N.Mala directed the respondents to consider the representation made by his brother as well as by the 5th to 7th respondent therein and to pass an order.

4. The petitioner alleges that, on account of the pendency of the suit, the Block Development Officer has rejected the application filed by him to pay



property tax. The reason given by the 3rd respondent is the pendency of the suit in O.S.No.34 of 2020. The petitioner further alleges that the 3rd and 5th respondent have said that, unless and until the petitioner withdraws the suit, they will continue to face pinpricks from the said respondents.

5. Prima facie, I am of the view that, demand by any person to withdraw any proceedings initiated before the Court attracts the provisions of Contempt of Courts Act, 1971. The position has been settled by a judgment of the Supreme Court in *Advocate General, State of Bihar V. Madhya Pradesh Khair Industries Ltd.* (AIR (1980) SC 946).

6. Mr.L.S.M.Hasan Fizal, learned Additional Government Pleader shall instruct the 3rd respondent to be present in the Court on the next date of hearing.

7. Post the matter on 18.11.2025 in the admission list.

14-11-2025

mpl

To

1. The District Collector/ Inspector Of Panchayat,
Kanchipuram District, Kanchipuram.
2. The Tahsildar
Uthiramerur Taluk, Kanchipuram District.
3. The Block Development Officer
Uthiramerur Taluk, Kanchipuram District.



WEB COPY

WP No. 44115 of 2



V.LAKSHMINARAYANAN J.

mpl

4. The Village Administration Officer
Ammaiyappanallur Village, Uthiramerur
Taluk, Kanchipuram District.
5. The Panchayat Secretary,
Ammaiyappanallur Village Panchayat,
Uthiramerur Taluk, Kanchipuram District.

WP No. 44115 of 2025

14-11-2025