



WEB COPY

CMP No. 29387 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 18-08-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B. BALAJI

CMP No. 29387 of 2025

AND

CRP SR NO. 179843 OF 2025, CRP SR NO. 179844 OF 2025, CMP NO. 29389 OF 2025

Babu

..Petitioner in both
CMPs

Vs

1. Shriram Transport Finance Company Limited
Villupuram Rep by its K. Arulraj, S/o. Kasiraja,
Villupuram, Villupuram District.
2. Anandhan
S/o. Selvanam, No.186, Edaiyan Chavadi Salta,
Karuvadikuppam, Pondicherry.

..Respondents in both
CMPs

CMP No. 29387 of 2025

To condone the delay of 126 days in filing the above said CRP as against the order made in EP NO.51 of 2024 in ARC No.1386 of 2021 on the file of Principal Sub Judge, Villupuram dated on 12.03.2025.

CMP No. 29389 of 2025

To condone the delay of 27 days in filing the above said CRP as against the order made in EP NO.109 of 2022 in ARC No.472 of 2021 on the file of Additional District Judge (Fast Track Court) Villupuram dated 30.06.2025.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.R.Sundar in both CMPs

For Respondent(s): No appearance in both CMPs




COMMON ORDER

WEB COPY

The petitioner has filed these two instant revision petitions with a delay of 126 days in CMP.No.29387 of 2025 and 27 days in CMP.No.29389 of 2025 respectively.

2.Despite service of notice on the contesting 1st respondent, the 1st respondent has neither chosen to appear in person nor through counsel.

3.After going through the affidavits filed in support of the condone delay applications, expressing dissatisfaction, gave an opportunity to the petitioner to file better affidavits. Today, two affidavits of the petitioner are filed, which are dated 17.08.2026, where the petitioner states that he is a villager and has no experience with Court proceedings and he was not aware of the revisional remedy that would lie before this Court and further, his advocate had also not informed him immediately, despite receipt of the order copy on 30.07.2025 and the learned counsel had handed over the same to the petitioner only in the 2nd week of August 2025. One of the impugned orders came to be passed on 12.03.2025 and the other impugned order came to be passed on 30.06.2025. Hence, the delay is 126 days and 27 days respectively.



4.I am satisfied that the petitioner has made out sufficient cause. The delay is not wilful or deliberate and moreover, the delay is not inordinate as well. Moreover, the 1st respondent, despite service of notice, has also not come forward to contest the condone delay applications. Hence, I am inclined to allow the condonation of delay applications.

5.In fine, these Civil Miscellaneous Petitions are ordered. The Registry is directed to number the revision petitions, if it is otherwise in order and list the same for admission.

ATA

18-08-2026



WEB COPY

CMP No. 29387 of 2



P.B.BALAJI J.

ATA

**CMP No. 29387 of 2025
AND
CRP SR NO. 179843 OF 2025, CRP SR NO. 179844 OF 2025, CMP NO.
29389 OF 2025**

18-08-2026