



WEB COPY



1

A.Nos.5289 and 5290 of 2025

in

A.Nos.4285 and 4286 of 2024

in

O.A.Nos.103 and 102 of 2020

in

C.S.No.65 of 2020

N.SENTHILKUMAR, J.

The present Applications having been filed for seeking extension of time to the applicant/defendant to comply with the order dated 26.8.2025 in Application Nos.4285 and 4286 of 2024, which directed the present applicant/defendant to pay a sum of Rs.6 lakhs as costs to the first respondent/plaintiff, when the matter was taken up, learned counsel for the applicant has filed a memo dated 17.11.2025 stating that a sum of Rs.6,00,000/- was paid by way of Demand Draft bearing No.625508 dated 14.11.2025 drawn on State Union Bank, which was handed over to the learned counsel for the applicant on 14.11.2025 and the same has been duly acknowledged by Mr.Balamurali, learned counsel appearing for the first respondent/plaintiff .

2. The said memo is taken on record. However, this court finds that the



Managing Director and some of the Directors of the defendant have been arrayed as respondents 2 to 5 in the present Application as against the fact that the suit has been filed against the sole defendant.

3. Therefore, the Registry is directed to ascertain as to how they are arrayed as parties and file a report.

Post the matter on 9.12.2025.

25.11.2025

ssk.



WEB COPY

3



N.SENTHILKUMAR, J.

ssk.

A.Nos.5289 and 5290 of 2025 in
A.Nos.4285 and 4286 of 2024 in
O.A.Nos.103 and 102 of 2020 in
C.S.No.65 of 2020

25.11.2025.