



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 21-01-2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE ABDUL QUDDHOSE

WP No. 42353 of 2025

1. R.Jayaprakash Narayanan

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The District Collector,
O/o. The District Collector, Cuddalore
District.

2.The Revenue Tahsildar,
Srimushnam Taluk, Cuddalore District.

3.The Village Administrative Officer,
Nangudi Village, Srimushnam Taluk,
Cuddalore District.

4.Mr. Sanjeevi,

Respondent(s)

PRAYER

Directing the respondents 1 to 3 to dispose of the petitioner's representation dated 17.03.2025


For Petitioner(s): Mr.S.Indrajith

For Respondent(s): Mr.C.Jayaprakash, Govt.
Advocate For R1 To R3

M/s.S.Sushmitha For
Mr.S.Senthil Kumar, For R4

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed to direct the respondents 1 to 3 to dispose




of the petitioner's representation dated 17.03.2025 within a time frame to be fixed by this Court.

WEB COPY

2. The petitioner claims that there is a discrepancy in the patta issued in favour of the petitioner for the property owned by him morefully described in the prayer to this writ petition. According to him, the extent of land has been wrongly mentioned in the patta issued in his favour. According to the petitioner, he is entitled for a larger extent of land. The petitioner has given a representation on 17.03.2025 to the respondents 1 to 3, requesting them to modify the patta by disclosing the correct extent of land owned by the petitioner. Since the said representation has not been considered by the respondents 1 to 3 till date, the petitioner has filed this writ petition.

3. The fourth respondent has also filed counter in this writ petition. The fourth respondent is the contesting party in the petitioner's representation dated 17.03.2025, which is the subject matter of this writ petition. The fourth respondent has stated in the counter that the patta, which is sought to be modified by the petitioner has been correctly issued.

4. Admittedly, no final decision has been taken by the official respondents by passing final orders in the petitioner's representation dated 17.03.2025. No prejudice would be caused to the respondents if the petitioner's representation



dated 17.03.2025 is considered on merits and in accordance with law after hearing the objections of the fourth respondent as well as after giving due consideration to the supporting documents filed by the petitioner as well as the fourth respondent within a time frame to be fixed by this Court.


5. This Court is not expressing any opinion on the merits of the petitioner's representation dated 17.03.2025.

6. For the foregoing reasons, this Court directs the second respondent to pass final orders on merits and in accordance with law on the petitioner's representation dated 17.03.2025, seeking for modification of the petitioner's patta for the property morefully described in the prayer to this writ petition within a period of twelve weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order after hearing the objections of the fourth respondent as well as after giving due consideration to the supporting documents filed by the petitioner as well as the fourth respondent. Both the parties are permitted to file written submissions before the second respondent with regard to the respective contentions.

7. Accordingly, this writ petition is disposed of. No Costs.

21-01-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Internet: Yes



Neutral Citation: Yes/No
ab

WEB COPY

To

1. The District Collector,
O/o. The District Collector, Cuddalore
District.
2. The Revenue Tahsildar,
Srimushnam Taluk, Cuddalore District.
3. The Village Administrative Officer,
Nangudi Village, Srimushnam Taluk,
Cuddalore District.



WEB COPY

WP No. 42353 of 2025



ABDUL QUDDHOSE J.

ab

WP No. 42353 of 2025

21-01-2026