



WEB COPY

WP No. 38839 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 14-10-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

WP No. 38839 of 2025

1. K.Sabanayagam,
S/o.Kaliya Perumal, No.2/26-B,
Min Nagar, Senthurai,
Ariyalur District- 621 714.

Petitioner(s)


Vs

1. The State Of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by the Additional Chief Secretary
to Government, Rural Development and
Panchayat Raj Department,
Fort Saint George,
Secretariat, Chennai- 600 009.

2.The Commissioner Of Rural
Development and
Panchayat Raj, Panagal Building,
Saidapet, Chennai 600 015.

3.The District Collector,
Perambalur, Perambalur District.

4.The District Collector,



Ariyalur, Ariyalur District.

Respondent(s)

WEB PRAYER

This petition has been filed seeking for issuance of a Mandamus, to direct the respondents 1 & 2 herein, to consider the petitioner's representation dated 22.07.2025.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.R.Naresh Kumar


For Respondent(s): Mr.Yogesh Kannadasan, Spl GP

ORDER

By consent of both parties, this Writ Petition is taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission itself.

2.This petition has been filed seeking for issuance of a Mandamus, to direct the respondents 1 & 2 herein, to consider the petitioner's representation dated 22.07.2025.

3.Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Special Government Pleader appearing for the respondents and perused the materials available on record.



WEB COPY

4. The case of the petitioner is that while he was working as Extension Officer(Panchayats), he was placed under suspension vide order of the third respondent dated 05.06.2005. Thereafter, he was issued with a charge memo dated 02.07.2005 by the third respondent framing three charges against the petitioner. The petitioner submitted his explanation to the 3rd respondent on 22.08.2005. After receiving his explanation, the 3rd respondent has appointed the enquiry officer. The enquiry officer, after completing the enquiry, submitted his report with the findings that the charges are held as not proved. After receiving the enquiry report, the disciplinary authority has issued a disagreement notice dated 31.03.2006, to the petitioner. After receiving the same, the petitioner has submitted a detailed representation on 17.05.2006, to the third respondent. But without considering the further representation of the petitioner, the third respondent vide proceedings, dated 30.05.2006 imposed a punishment of stoppage of increment for a period of one year without cumulative effect. The petitioner has preferred an appeal on 21.07.2006, before the second respondent to set aside the order of punishment dated 30.05.2006.



WEB COPY

The said appeal was rejected by the second respondent vide proceedings dated 27.09.2012. Aggrieved by the same, the petitioner filed a revision petition before the second respondent. However, the second respondent has passed a rejection order vide G.O.(Pa)No.315, dated 21.06.2017 in the said revision petition. Against which, the petitioner has filed W.P.No.21048 of 2019 before this Court and the same is still pending. Thereafter, the petitioner made a representation dated 22.07.2025 to the respondents 1 & 2 seeking for payment of the amount that was debited from his pension. Since no action is forthcoming by the respondents, the petitioner was constrained to file the present writ petition.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that it would be suffice if this Court issues a direction to the respondents to consider the petitioner's representation and pass orders.

6. At this juncture, the learned Special Government Pleader appearing for the respondents would submit that the petitioner's representations will be



considered on its own merits and in accordance with law, within a reasonable time.

7. In view of the above submission, without going into the merits of the writ petition, this Court deems it appropriate to direct the respondents to consider the petitioner's representation dated 22.07.2025 and pass orders on its own merits and in accordance with law, within a period of twelve weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.


8. With the above direction, the Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.

14-10-2025

dn

Index: Yes/No

Neutral Citation: Yes/No



To
WEB COPY

1. The State Of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by the Additional Chief Secretary
to Government, Rural Development and
Panchayat Raj Department,
Fort Saint George,
Secretariat, Chennai- 600 009.

2. The Commissioner Of Rural
Development and
Panchayat Raj, Panagal Building,
Saidapet, Chennai 600 015.

3. The District Collector,
Perambalur, Perambalur District.

4. The District Collector,
Ariyalur, Ariyalur District.



WEB COPY

WP No. 38839 of 2025



A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.
dn

WP No. 38839 of 2025

14-10-2025