



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 22.01.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.B. BALAJI

**CRP.No.205 of 2026
& CMP.No.838 of 2026**

WEB COPY

1.Porkodi R
2.V.Senthil
3.Ganga Priya

Petitioner(s)

Vs

Lakshmi Devi R (Died)
1.Padmanabhan
2.R.Ram Kumar
3.The Sub-Registrar,
Villivakkam Sub-Registrar Office,
Villivakkam, Chennai – 600 050.
4.The District Collector,
Thiruvallur,
Thiruvallur District.

Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s): Mr.R.A.S.Senthilvel

For Respondent(s) : Mr.A.Arumugam

ORDER

After hearing the learned counsel for the parties, I find that there is no merit in the revision petition.

2.The petitioners challenge the dismissal of Section 47 application. The grounds that have been raised in the Section 47 application revolve around the principles of adverse possession. The very same contentions were raised by the petitioners before the trial Court, as well as the Hon'ble Supreme Court, unsuccessfully. Therefore, I do not find any infirmity in the findings of the executing Court, holding that the challenge in the Section 47 application is outside the scope of mandate of Section 47 of CPC itself.

3.At this juncture, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks for some



time to enable the petitioners to vacate and hand over possession to the respondent.

WEB COPY

4. Considering the above, the petitioners shall vacate and hand over possession to the respondent on or before 31.03.2026, subject to the petitioners file an affidavit of undertaking and also undertake to pay Rs.1,00,000/- by end of February 2026. Insofar as arrears of damages for use and occupation, the respondent is at liberty to workout the same in the manner known to law.

5. Post the matter on 02.02.2026, for filing affidavit of undertaking.

22.01.2026

Ata

To

The II Additional District and Sessions Court, Tiruvallur, Poonamallee.