



SA.No.660 of 2025

WEB COPY

T.V.THAMILSELVI, J.


Challenging the concurrent findings of the Courts below, the plaintiffs have preferred this appeal.

2. The learned counsel for the appellants/plaintiffs submitted that the extent of the property has not been properly appreciated by the Court below though the plaintiffs produced both the old and new patta as well as other records relevant to the suit property.

3. This Court admits the Second Appeal on the following substantial questions of law:

i) Whether the Lower Appellate Court was correct in ignoring the Ex.A3 & 4 wherein the town extract of the suit property measuring a total extent of 2059 sq.ft. stands in the name of plaintiff's father which clearly shows their possession in the suit property?

ii) Whether the Lower Appellate Court was correct in ignoring the Metro Water Tax receipts, family card, Aadhaar Card, Voter



WEB COPY

*ID Card in Exhibit A-7 to 11 in the name of the plaintiff in
order to prove their possession?*

4. The Registry is directed to call for the entire records from the
Courts below concerned.

5. List the Second Appeal on 12.03.2026.

21.01.2026

pvs



WEB COPY



T.V.THAMILSELVI, J.

pvs

SA.No.660 of 2025

21.01.2026