



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 02-09-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HEMANT CHANDANGOUDAR

WP No. 3440 of 2025

Lakshmanan Karuppanan

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The District Collector
Coimbatore District, Coimbatore.
2. The District Revenue Officer
Coimbatore North Zone, Coimbatore.
3. The Sub Registrar
SRO, Periyanaikkanpalayam
No 171, Railway Feeder Road,
Periyanaikkanpalayam, Coimbatore 641 020.
4. Tamizharasi
5. Tamizhvani
6. Dilippiniya

..Respondent(s)

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking a writ of mandamus directing the 2nd respondent to take action to cancel the settlement deed document No.2281 of 1990 dated 25.07.1990 and appropriate orders for maintenance for his life time under the Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizen Act, 2007 and Rules.

For Petitioner(s):

Mr.B.Nedunzhelian

For Respondent(s):

Mr.S.Sidhartha Vishnu,
Govt. Advocate for R1 to R3

Mr.N.Manojkumar for R4 to R6



ORDER

The petitioner seeks a direction to the second respondent to cancel the settlement deed bearing Document No.2281 of 1990 dated 25.07.1990 and to pass appropriate orders for his maintenance during his lifetime under the Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Act, 2007 and the Rules framed thereunder.

2. The petitioner had executed a settlement deed in favour of his eldest son, Selvaraj, who is the father of respondents 4 to 6. Selvaraj subsequently died. Since respondents 4 to 6 failed to take care of the petitioner and provide him with his basic necessities, the petitioner submitted a representation dated 26.11.2020 before the second respondent seeking cancellation of the settlement deed.

3. The second respondent declined to cancel the settlement deed. However, respondents 4 to 6 were directed to pay monthly maintenance of Rs.2,000/- each, totalling Rs.6,000/- per month.

4. According to the petitioner, the said order has attained finality. However, the learned counsel appearing for respondents 4 to 6 submits that they have preferred an appeal against the order passed by the second respondent and that the appeal is pending.

5. In any event, there is no stay operating against the order dated 26.07.2024 passed by the second respondent in Na.Ka.No.4086/2020/A1, directing respondents 4 to 6 to pay monthly maintenance to the petitioner.



6. Therefore, the Writ Petition is disposed of with a direction to the second respondent to implement the order dated 26.07.2024 in Na.Ka.No.4086/2020/A1. In the event of respondents 4 to 6 failing to pay the maintenance as directed, the second respondent shall take appropriate steps, in accordance with law, to attach the subject properties for recovery of the amount due. There shall be no order as to costs.

02-09-2026

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

ssk

To

1. The District Collector
Coimbatore District, Coimbatore.
2. The District Revenue Officer
Coimbatore North Zone, Coimbatore.
3. The Sub Registrar
SRO, Periyanaikkanpalayam
No 171, Railway Feeder Road,
Periyanaikkanpalayam, Coimbatore 641 020.



WEB COPY

WP No. 3440 of 2



HEMANT CHANDANGOUDAR, J.

ssk

WP No. 3440 of 2025

02-09-2026