



WEB COPY

WA No. 3672 of 2



Order QR

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 18-09-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ABDUL QUDDHOSE

AND

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.RAJESH VIVEKANANTHAN

WA No. 3672 of 2025

CNR: {HCMA012029072023}

K.Eswaramoorthi

S/o K. N. Sankarappa Gounder, Residing At Door
No 11 /1, Erukkadu 1st Street, Kuruvampalayam,
Tiruppur 641 604



Case QR

..Appellant(s)

Vs

1. The Sub Registrar
Office Of The Joint II Sub Registrar, Tiruppur,
Tiruppur District
2. The Executive Officer
Arulmigu Visweshwaraswamy And
Veeraragavaperumal Temple, Tiruppur,
Tiruppur District
3. The Commissioner
Hindu Religious And Charitable Endowments,
Uthamar Gandhi Road, Thousand Lights West,
Nungambakkam, Chennai 600 034
4. The District Collector
Tiruppur District, Tiruppur

..Respondent(s)

Prayer: This writ appeal has been filed under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent Act to set aside the Order passed by the learned Single Judge of this Court in W.P.No.23877 of 2023 dated 14.08.2023.



WEB COPY

WA No. 3672 of 2



Order QR

For Appellant(s):

Mr.Raja Srinivas
for M/s.PVS Giridhar Associates

For Respondent(s):

Mr.K.Maheshwaran, AGP
For R1
Mr.K..Govind Ganesan, for R2
Mr.S.Surya ,GA for R3
Mr.R.Hashwanth, GA for R4

JUDGMENT

(Judgment of the Court was delivered by Abdul Quddhose J.)

This writ appeal has been filed challenging the impugned order passed by the learned Single Judge of this Court in W.P.No.23877 of 2023, dated 14.08.2023.

2. The appellant was the petitioner in the writ petition. The writ petition was filed to direct the first respondent to register the sale deed dated 02.03.2023 presented by the appellant for registration. Before the learned Single Judge, the first respondent had contended that the subject property is a temple property and is owned by the second respondent temple. The learned Single Judge of this Court under the impugned order had accepted the contention of the first respondent and had dismissed the writ petition. Aggrieved by the same, this writ appeal has been filed by the petitioner in the writ petition.

3. As seen from the impugned order of the learned Single Judge, no refusal check slip was issued by the first respondent refusing to register the sale



deed presented by the appellant for registration. The writ petition was filed only for a mandamus to direct the first respondent to register the sale deed presented by the appellant for registration. It is also noticed that no enquiry was conducted by the first respondent with regard to registration of the sale deed presented by the appellant for registration. The contesting respondents, namely, the respondents 2 and 3, were also not given an opportunity of hearing by the first respondent.

4. No prejudice will be caused to any of the parties if a direction is issued to the appellant to re-present the sale deed with the first respondent for registration, and the first respondent after hearing all the necessary parties which includes the respondents 2 and 3 takes a decision as to whether the said sale deed can be registered or not. The learned counsels for the contesting respondents have also not raised any serious objection for issuing the aforesaid direction by this Court.

5. For the foregoing reasons, this writ appeal is disposed of in the following manner:-

- (a) The appellant is directed to re-present the sale deed dated 02.03.2023 for registration for the subject property with the first respondent within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this



WEB COPY



judgment.

(b) On such re-presentation, the first respondent shall conduct an enquiry by hearing all the necessary parties which includes the respondents 2 and 3 as well, and thereafter, take a final decision as to whether the sale deed dated 02.03.2023 presented by the appellant can be registered or not.

(c) In case, the first respondent decides to refuse registration of the sale deed dated 02.03.2023 presented by the appellant for registration, the first respondent shall pass a speaking order after giving due consideration to the contentions of the appellant as well as the supporting documents produced by him.

(d) The aforesaid exercise shall be completed by the first respondent within a period of eight weeks thereafter.

We have not expressed any opinion on the merits of the respective contentions of the parties in this writ appeal. No Costs.

(A.Q.,J.) (R.R.V.,J.)
18-09-2026

Neutral Citation: Yes/No
RKM



To

1. The Sub Registrar
Office Of The Joint II Sub Registrar, Tiruppur,
Tiruppur District
2. The Executive Officer
Arulmigu Visweshwaraswamy And
Veeraragavaperumal Temple, Tiruppur,
Tiruppur District
3. The Commissioner
Hindu Religious And Charitable Endowments,
Uthamar Gandhi Road, Thousand Lights West,
Nungambakkam, Chennai 600 034
4. The District Collector
Tiruppur District, Tiruppur



WEB COPY

WA No. 3672 of 2



Order QR

**ABDUL QUDDHOSE, J.
AND
R.RAJESH VIVEKANANTHAN, J.**

RKM

WA No. 3672 of 2025

18-09-2026