



W.P. No.31106 of 2022

W.P. No.31106 of 2022

WEB COPY

N.SESHASAYEE. J.,

There is no representation for respondents 1 and 2.

2.The quintessence of the grievance expressed by the petitioner is that she was the topper in B.Com. (CS) having graduated from the second respondent college. However she could not sit for the first exam in the first semester owing to dengue. This she cleared subsequently and she always had a creditable academic track record. In fine, she was the topper in terms of the aggregate marks she has scored whereas, the first respondent awarded the gold medal to the fifth respondent, who, according to the petitioner, has scored 104 marks less than her.

3.The petitioner was declined the award essentially because the college treated her inability to take the first examination of the first semester as an arrears paper.



WEB COPY



W.P. No.31106 of 2022

N.SESHASAYEE, J.

Asr

4.Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is an issue of defining or understanding what amounts to a first attempt. The college appears to consider it as the first opportunity it provides to a student to pass the exam. The same thing could be understood from a student point of view according to which the first attempt is that which the student takes for the first time. This requires an understanding in terms of any rules pertaining to the same.

5. Post it under the caption for orders on 22.08.2023.

04.08.2023

Asr

W.P. No.31106 of 2022