

BEFORE THE ADDITIONAL MASTER IV,
HIGH COURT, MADRAS
T.O.S.No.68 OF 2024
C.S.No.240 of 2024

Date: 30.10.2025
Time : 12.23 P.M.

Name : Mr. K. Sooriya Prakash (PW1)

Cross examination by Mr. K.B. Gopi, Counsel for the defendant.

Solemnly affirmed:

Q1: What is Ex.P3?

A: The receipt for the payment of arrears, maintenance and final settlement issued by Tamil Nadu Slum Clearance Board in the name of my father.

Q2: Is it correct to state that Ex.P4 is also receipts for the payment of damages, documentary charges and installment amount in the name of your father?

A: Yes.

Q3: I put it to you that Exs.P3 & P4 are filed for the sake of this case.

A: Yes.

Q4: When did your father get the sales cum lease agreement from Slum Clearance Board?

A: On 05.07.1995.

Q5: Have you filed the sale deed referred in the written statement filed by your deceased father, Kuppu Rao in CS.No.240/2024?

A: No. Witness adds: The sale deed has not been executed till this day. Processing fee was paid.

Q6: Is it correct to state that your father did not mention about the Will in the written statement, Ex.P10 filed by him in CS.No.240/2024?

A: Yes.

Q7: I put it to you that your mother, your sister and yourself adopted the written statement filed by the 1st defendant in CS.No.240/2024.

A: Yes.

Q8: I put it to you that your father is only a sale cum lease agreement holder and he does not have any title to the said property and that is the reason why he has not executed a Will.

A: I deny.

Q9: I put it to you that this Will, Ex.P1 is a fabricated one which is not executed by your father Mr. Kuppu Rao.

A: I deny.

Q10: Have you filed any proof withstanding your statement that your father has provided a financial help to the defendant's late husband in TOS 68/2024 to buy a property in Bengaluru?

A: No. No proof has been filed. But he himself has made an averment in his written statement.

Q11: The Ex.P12, the proof of construction expenses which was filed by you pertains to which year?

A: 1998-1999.

Q12: I put it to you that this construction expenses was paid by your father and you received the bills in your father's name.

A: I deny.

Q13: I put it to you that you have filed the Ex.P12 only for the sake of this case.

A: I deny.

Q14: The Ex.P13, the proof of renovation expenses in which you have mentioned you have borne the expenses of the renovation and you have submitted the receipts of the same, is it correct?

A: Yes.

Q15: I put it to you that you have not borne any expenses for the renovation of the said building and only for the purpose of this case, you have fabricated these bills and have submitted before this court.

A: I deny.

Q16: I put it to you that you have not spent Rs.9,72,157/- neither for the construction nor for the renovation work.

A: I deny.

Q17: Is it correct to state that you have purchased a property measuring an extent of 1000 sq.ft adjacent to the present suit property, (Kuppu Rao's property)?

A: Yes.

Q18: I put it to you that this property was purchased by your father in your name.

A: I deny.

Q19: I put it to you that except Exs.P1, P10, P11 other documents from Exs.P2 to P8 are the photocopies. (The counsel for the plaintiff is objecting on the grounds that the originals were produced, compared and marked the photocopies.)

A: Yes. Witness adds: I have submitted the originals and after the comparison the photocopies were marked.

Q20: I put it to you that the Will, Ex.P1 is a created document and you have filed here for grant of Letters of Administration after your father's demise.

A: I deny.

Q21: I put it to you that the genuineness of the Will is in question and hence the Letters of Administration should not be granted based on this Will.

A: I deny.

Q22: I put it to you that TOS.No.68/2024 is liable to be dismissed.

A: I deny.

Re-Examination : Nil.

Time: 12.52 P.M.

Taken down in open Court, read over and explained to the witness and the same is admitted by the witness to be true and correct and signed before me.

ADDITIONAL MASTER-IV