

BEFORE THE MASTER,
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

O.P.No.695 of 2022

DATE : 20.06.2023

TIME : 12.50 P.M.

Evidence of Mr.Madhu Thangaraj, P.W.1, aged 39 years, S/o. (Late) Mr.Thangaraj, Currently residing at D.No.1053/A Bhavani Main Road, Bhavani Town Bhavani Taluk, Erode, District – 638301, now temporarily come down to Chennai.

Cross Examination by

Mr.S.Xavier Felix, (Enrl.No.932/84), Counsel for the Respondent.

.....
SOLEMNLY AFFIRMED :

It is incorrect to state that I am still working in US. I am now working in India. I am working for Cognizant Technology solution. It is correct to state that my permanent residential address is at Bhavani, Erode. It is correct to state that the Head Office of Cognizant Technology Solutions is at US. Is it correct to state that as on today, I am working from home of the US company.

Q: Is it correct to state that the company at US asked you to come over to US, you have to go and work there.

A: Currently my visa expired. If I have visa. I will go.

Q: Is there any impediment to extend the renewal of visa.

A: It depends upon the projects budget.

Q: If the budget proposal of the US company wanted you to come back to US, whether you are ready and willing to go over there?

A: Currently, I am not ready to go.

Q: I put it to you that if the US company asked you to work in US, are you going to join or quit the job.

A: I won't go. I have an option to continue in India. If not I will change the company.

Q: So you have to seek a fresh appointment in a new company, is in it?

A: Yes.

Q: Is it incorrect to state that in the month of July 2019, the respondent and the child returned to India from US?

A: The respondent and the Child reached India only in February 2019.

Q: Is it correct to state that before leaving US, there were various misunderstanding, family quarrels and some issues between you and the respondent.

A: There was some misunderstanding and differences of opinion.

Q: I put it to you that the said misunderstanding and differences of opinion are, due to your continuous addiction to alcohol consumption and wife's beating. What do you say?

A: I am not an alcoholic addict. It was only an argument between me and my wife.

I deny the suggestion that even at the time of delivery I had not given proper medical care to the respondent and the respondent, herself admitted in hospital for the delivery. It is correct to state that after the delivery, my father and mother came to US and stayed with me. My parents came only after four months from the date of the delivery. My father occasionally use to smoke cigarette. I completely disagree that my father was a chain smoker and carrying the child which was objected by the respondent, therefore, my

father and mother scolded her and treated her with utmost contempt adequate. My mother took care of the new born baby and the respondent very well and she did not allow my wife to do any household work. It is correct to state that the parents of the respondent also came and stayed in my home at US. It is correct to state that the marriage between me and the respondent is a love marriage.

Q: I put it to you that therefore the respondent did not inform her parents regarding the aforesaid misunderstanding, difference of opinion and beating and was not brought to the notice of her parents, since there would be very much worry and scared.

A: I have already denied for the aforesaid question. Since I have very good relationship with my wife and in-laws. So, there is no place to have this kind of communication. I took care of my in-laws even before my marriage also.

Q: I put it to you that your answer stating that you are taking care of my in-laws before and after marriage is absolutely false.

A: I deny.

Q: Are you aware that your wife was born to her parents after 17 years of their marriage.

A: Yes.

Q: I put it to you that after your wife and son came to India, you have not presented any new dresses, new toys and books which were old stock and discarded by your son as stated by you in the petition.

A: I bought all the dresses, toys and books new and as well as old, whatever he had in US.

Q: I put it to you that the avernments mentioned in Para 14 of the Original Petition is absolutely false.

A: I deny.

Q:I put it to you that similarly the avernments mentioned in Para 16 to 19 except pending of HMOP, all others are false.

A: I deny.

Q: It is correct to state that the respondents has given Rs.3 lakhs as her share for the marriage expenses of my cousin.

A: Yes.

Q: I put it to you that the word in Para 20 of the Proof affidavit “Under the pretext” is incorrect.

A: Yes. I agree.

Q: Ex.P10 is nothing but only an authorization given by you to your father-in-law regarding the pension amount to be received, but you have not completed the authorization.

A: According to Ex.P10, my father-in-law authorized me to appear before the Sub Registrar Office and correct his bank account details.

Q: I have filed Application Nos.5170 to 5173 of 2022.

A: Yes.

Q: I am aware that my son was taken to a psychologist and his psychology was evaluated.

A: Yes.

Q: I put it to you that whenever you approached to talk with your son, you have not talked to him with true love and affection from the bottom of my heart.

A: Prior to 2022, I used to have conversation with my son through video call and he was very attached and the relationship was very good. Since February 2023, I was not allowed to see or talk to him.

Q: As on today whenever you are trying to contact your son through email, whatsapp and cell phone, you are threatening him. Therefore he scared and became sick and fell in fever.

A: I deny. I want to make it clear that there is no point in threatening my son. My wife blocked me in whatsapp, so that i cannot contact her on phone and video call. Last one year, I am very scared as do not know the whereabouts of my son and wife. So there is not point of reaching them.

Q: Have you filed any Habeas Corpus Petition before this Court.

A:No

Q: Is it correct to state that you father died during Covid pandemic?

A: He died on 04.09.2020.

Q: What is the age of your mother?

A: She is 70 years old.

Q: Is it correct to state that from 2019 till date, your son is not continuously not residing with you.

A: Yes.

TIME: 01.30 P.M.

Taken down in open court, read by the witness, admitted by the witness to be correct and signed before me.

MASTER