



L.P.A. Nos.1 and 2 of 2021

WEB COPY

PARESH UPADHYAY, J.

And

SATHI KUMAR SUKUMARA KURUP, J.

(Order of the Court was made by PARESH UPADHYAY, J.)

Request is made on behalf of the respondent for adjournment.

Request is accepted.

2. Learned senior advocate for the appellant has made serious grievance that on one hand adjournment is being taken and on the other hand, the order under challenge is sought to be exploited by various methods including issuance of pamphlets.

3. We note that, after the appeal was held to be maintainable vide order dated 15.12.2021, the matter was to be heard on merits on 21.12.2021, however the appeal could not be taken up for hearing for the reasons not attributable to the appellant's counsel as the record states.

4. Considering this fact, it is observed that if the order under challenge is sought to be taken advantage of / support from - in any manner, it would be open for the appellant to move this Court for appropriate relief, independent of this appeal as well.

5. With this clarification, list on 21.02.2022, as requested on behalf of the respondent.

(P.U.J.) (S.S.K.J.)

03.02.2022

mmi/33



WEB COPY



2

**PARESH UPADHYAY, J.
And
SATHI KUMAR SUKUMARA KURUP, J.**

mmi

L.P.A. Nos.1 and 2 of 2021

03.02.2022