



C.R.P. No. 2879 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

WEB COPY

DATED: 06.07.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ABDUL QUDDHOSE

C.R.P. No. 2879 of 2025

&

C.M.P. No. 16246 of 2025

1. M. Sridhar
2. M/s. Madhu Products
Having Office at 4/133A,
Venasapatti, Ganapathipalayam Post,
Udumalpet Taluk,
Tiruppur District – 642 122.
3. Mrs. Sridevi
4. Mrs. Santhamani

..Petitioners


Vs.

M. Rajalakshmi

..Respondent

For Petitioners :: Mr.R. Sathish Kumar

For Respondent :: Mr.Ramesh Ganapathy



C.R.P. No. 2879 of 2025

WEB COPY


ORDER

This civil revision petition has been filed challenging the impugned order dismissing the application filed under Order VII Rule 11 CPC by the petitioners, who are defendants in the suit.

2. The petitioners have raised the following grounds in this civil revision petition:

- (a) The suit filed by the respondent/plaintiff is barred by law
- (b) The suit is hit by *res judicata*.
- (c) The suit is not a commercial suit.

3. After hearing the submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioners for quite sometime, this Court put a question to the learned counsel for the petitioners as to how an application filed under Order VII Rule 11 CPC is maintainable when the right of the petitioners/defendants to file a written statement has already been forfeited by the Commercial Court.



C.R.P. No. 2879 of 2025

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners seeks time to produce authorities before this Court on the next hearing date to establish that despite the fact that the right to file written statement has been forfeited, the petitioners/defendants have got the right to file an application under Order VII Rule 11 CPC.


5. Post on 14.07.2026.

06.07.2026

nv

To

The Principal District Court, Tiruppur.



WEB COPY



C.R.P. No. 2879 of 2025

ABDUL QUDDHOSE,J.

nv

C.R.P. No. 2879 of 2025

06.07.2026