

BEFORE THE ADDITIONAL MASTER-III
THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCE
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

C.S.No.366 OF 2021

Date: 16.03.2026

Time: 12.57 P.M.

Name : Mr.N.Srinivasan (DW1)

Continuation of chief Examination by Mr.K.Chandrasekaran, Learned counsel for the plaintiffs.

Solemnly Affirmed:

Q1: When did your mother die and what is the date?

A: 12.10.2009.

Q2: Have you given any notice for partition to the plaintiff?

A: No.

Q3: Did the plaintiffs give any notice for partition to you?

A: Yes.

Q4: Did you give a reply to the above said notice?

A: Yes.

Q5: What is the contents of the notice of the plaintiffs?

A: Contents of the notice of the plaintiffs was only with respect to the house property.

Q6: What was your reply to that?

A: In my reply I am stated that I am ready for partition of both movable and immovable property.

Q7: I put it to you that you have not claimed any partition of any movable properties.

A: I deny.

Q8: Have you now possess a copy of your reply notice?

A: Yes.

Q9: Kindly tell this Court in which para you claimed partition of movables?

A: I do not have that copy of the same in front of me now. I provided the details of movable properties in my counter claim.

Q10: Have you claimed 6/10 share in the superstructure in your reply notice?

A: I do not remember what exactly stated in my reply notice. I stated in my counter claim.

Q11: It is stated in your reply notice (Ex.P4) that “in so far as claim of partition is concerned, my client is in principle agreeable for partition or any other such arrangement which will be commercially viable for everyone” Is it right?

A: I deny. Witness adds: I always say that I am ready for partition of both movable and immovable properties.

Q12: (Ex.P3 is shown to the witness through scanner) In the notice sent by plaintiff (Ex.P3) they have stated and claimed partition only for the suit property. Am I right?

A: They are referring the immovable property but I have claim for the both movable and immovable properties.

Q13: (Ex.P4 is shown to the witness through scanner) Have you stated the details of movable properties as of now claim by you in your reply notice?

A: Yes, I provided the details to my earlier advocate Venkatraman but he did not include the movable properties in this reply.

Q14: Have you stated in your reply notice you have entitled to 6/10 share in the superstructure of the suit property?

A: I think you are again referring the reply. I provided the above said details to my earlier advocate Venkatraman.

Q15: Did you visit your mother when she was hospitalised?

A: No.

Q16: For how many days she was in hospital and in which hospital?

A: She was in Isabel Hospital, Mylapore. She was there for 20-22 days and my father-in-law visited everyday.

Q17: I put it to you that she was in Devaki Hospital, Mylapore for one month.

A: May be. I got confused regarding hospital name. My father-in-law was taking care of my mother everyday while she was admitted in hospital.

Q18: Is it right that you never enquired about the health of your mother with your brother and sisters?

A: I strongly deny. I called them every day to enquire about my mother's health.

Q19: After how many days did you come to India to perform the last rites of your mother?

A: I left USA immediately and there within 24 hours.

Q20: For how many days was the body of your mother was in ice box?

A: One day.

Q21: Where was your father hospitalized?

A: Trinity Hospoital, Alwarpet.

Q22: Did you visit your father when he was alive and how many times?

A: I visited at least above ½ a dozen times i.e., 6 times after I left India.

Q23: When was your marriage?

A: In the year 1997.

Time : 01.28 P.M.

Taken down in open Court, read over and explained to the witness

and the same is admitted by the witness to be correct and signed before me.

ADDITIONAL MASTER-III