



WEB COPY



C.R.P.No.3250 of 2023

and

C.M.P.No.20046 of 2023

V.BHAVANI SUBBAROYAN.J.

On perusal of the records, it is seen that the I.A. which is under challenge in this revision petition was dismissed for non-payment of cost of Rs.5,000/- by the petitioner corporation, which was directed by the Court below to the respondent / plaintiff on or before 06.04.2023.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that, on 06.04.2023, the Co-ordinating Authority was present in the Court and ready with the payment during calling, but he was unable to convey the same to the Court as the Standing Counsel was held up in another Court. Also, he would submit that the Authority was hoping that the case would be passed over, but unfortunately, the Court has dismissed the I.A. on the ground that "Petitioner Called Absent" and "Cost not yet paid".

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would further submit that, if the I.A. is not allowed, they will be put into serious hardship as there is an order of this Court in W.P.No.28070 of 2011 to the petitioner for removal of encroachment.



WEB COPY



V.BHAVANI SUBBAROYAN.J.

raja

4. In view of the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court grants an order of interim stay of further proceedings in O.S.No.4621 of 2013 on the file of the Learned VII Assistant Judge, City Civil Court, Chennai.

5. Issue notice to the respondents returnable by 29.09.2023. Private notice is also permitted.

6. Post the matter on 29.09.2023.

07.09.2023

raja

C.R.P.No.3250 of 2023
and
C.M.P.No.20046 of 2023