

BEFORE THE ADDITIONAL MASTER-II

HIGH COURT, MADRAS

C.S.No.332 OF 2021

Date : 08.09.2025

Time : 03:30 PM

Name : Mr.A.Muthu (PW1)

PW1 Examined in chief by Learned counsel for the Plaintiff
M/s.Vedavalli Kumar.

Solemnly Affirmed:

Ex.P21 is the photocopy of the Notice dated 28.08.2018 Sent to 5th and 6th defendant by plaintiff's counsel.(Defendant counsel objected to mark the document on the ground that the notice is without acknowledgment card hence marked with objection)

Ex.P22 is the photocopy of the Reminder dated 25.09.2018 sent to 5th and 6th Defendants by plaintiff's counsel.(Defendant counsel objected to mark the document on the ground that the notice is without acknowledgment card hence marked with objection)

Ex.P23 is the certified copy of the written statement filed in the month of December 2018 by 1st defendant in OS.NO.3921/2018 on the file of XV Assistant City Civil Court, Chennai.

Ex.P24 is the original order dated 17.07.2019 in W.P.No.1413/2019 on the file of Hon'ble High Court, Madras.

Ex.P25 is the office copy of Notice dated 18.09.2019 along with original postal receipt sent to 5th and 6th defendant by plaintiff's counsel regarding order in WP.No.1413/2019.(Defendant counsel objected to mark the document on the ground that the notice is without acknowledgment card and it is not in the notice format, the next hearing date is not mentioned. Hence marked with objection)

Ex.P26 is the web copy of the order dated 17.12.2020 in Contempt Petition No.275/2020 on the file of Hon'ble High Court, Madras.

Ex.P27 is the photocopy and color xerox of the letter dated 26.02.2021 sent by plaintiff to Assistant Law Officer, Legal Cell, Greater Chennai corporation under RTI Act. (Defendant counsel objected to mark the document on the ground that the notice is without acknowledgment card and postal receipt. Hence marked with objection)

Ex.P28 is the photocopy and color xerox of the letter dated 26.02.2021 sent by plaintiff to The Deputy collector, Public Information Officer, Greater Chennai Corporation under RTI Act. (Defendant counsel objected to mark the document on the ground that the notice is without acknowledgment card and postal receipt. Hence marked with objection)

Ex.P29 is the photocopy and colour xerox of the Letter dated 26.02.2021 sent by Plaintiff to the executive Engineer Greater Chennai Corporation(6th defendant) under RTI Act. (Defendant counsel objected to mark the document on the ground that the notice is without acknowledgment card and postal receipt, sent from Villupuram. Hence marked with objection)

Ex.P30 is the photocopy and colour xerox of the Letter dated 26.02.2021 sent by Plaintiff to Public Information Officer, Head Quarters Deputy Tashildar under RTI Act. (Defendant counsel objected to mark the document on the ground that the notice is without acknowledgment card and postal receipt, no endorsement regarding personal delivery. Hence marked with objection)

Ex.P31 is the photocopy and colour xerox of the Letter dated 26.02.2021 sent by RTI by the Plaintiff to The public Information Officer, Assistant public Relation office PRO office under RTI Act.(Defendant counsel objected to mark the document on the ground that the notice is without acknowledgment card and postal receipt, no endorsement regarding personal delivery. Hence marked with objection)

Ex.P32 is the photocopy of the Reply addressed to the plaintiff dated 27.02.2021 from the deputy director PRO Greater Chennai Corporation. (Defendant counsel objected to mark the document on the ground that the original reply is not marked how they received it. Hence marked with objection.)

Ex.P33 is the Original of the Reply dated 03.03.2021 from the legal cell to the plaintiff (Defendant counsel objected to mark the document on the ground that the original reply is without officer seal and how they received it. Hence marked with objection.)

Ex.P34 & Ex.P35 are the Original (2 replies) of the Reply from Commissioner of Police & Deputy Commissioner of Police dated 10.05.2021, 08.06.2021. (Defendant counsel objected to mark the document on the ground that the reply is without officer seal, signed by some person for the competent authority and how they received it. Hence marked with objection.)

Ex.P36 is the photocopy of the Reply dated 09.06.2021 sent by Deputy Tahsildar to the plaintiff under RTI Act. (Defendant counsel objected to mark the document on the ground that the signature is in pencil and how they received it. The reference dated 09.06.2021 and the party claims that it was received on the same date which is not possible. Hence marked with objection.)

Ex.P37 is the photocopy of the Patta bearing No.983 dated 31.03.1997. (Compared with original) (Defendant counsel objected to mark the document on the ground that the Thasildar is not in a habit of issuing pattas in chart paper that too in an abnormal size, date is not legible, the first line of that patta states that issued by Egmore, Nungapakkam vattam and seal says that it is issued by Egmore, Nungapakkam Taluk. Hence marked with objection)

Ex.P38 is the postal card size of the photocopy of the Photograph without negative or CD (4 Nos.) (Defendant counsel objected to mark the document on the ground that the it has to be attested by notary public or any other competent persons under IT Act and should be accompanied by CD. Hence marked with objection)

Ex.P39 is the photocopy of the field Map in Field No.118 (Defendant counsel objected to mark the document on the ground that it is a xerox copy and without area name and village name. Hence marked with objection).

Ex.P40 is the photocopy and colour xerox of the field Map in Survey Nos.118 & 205 (Defendant counsel objected to mark the document on the ground that it is alleged to be issued by SRO which means Inspector of survey and the caption of the document says map showing the land under possession of M/s.Gas Links and disputed site in Kumaran Nagar the survoryer has no authority to give such document it has been fabricated for the purpose of the case it is an ultra vires act of the Inspector who had issued the same. Hence marked with objection).

Ex.P41 is the photocopy of the Encumbrance Certificate(Defendant counsel objected to mark the document on the ground that it is an photocopy. Hence marked with objection)

Ex.P42 is the photocopy of the Town Survey Land Register of Kumaran Nagar. (Defendant counsel objected to mark the document on the ground that it is an photocopy and there is no true copy endorsement and it requires IT endorsement . Hence marked with objection)

Time : 04:45 PM

Taken down in open Court, read over and explained to the witness and the same is admitted by the witness to be correct and signed before me.

ADDITIONAL MASTER-II