



SA.No.882 of 2021

WEB COPY


T.V.THAMILSELVI, J.

Challenging the reversal findings, the plaintiffs have preferred this second appeal.

2. This Court admits the Second Appeal on the following substantial questions of law:

“a) Whether the learned First Appellate Court is right in disbelieving the oral gift or family arrangement made by the father late D.Muthukumarappa Reddiar to his daughter Kamalammal, particularly, in the absence of any reference about the suit schedule in the partition deed dated 22.01.1970 (Ex.A66=Ex.B3) and Will dated 19.12.1984 (Ex.B9=Ex.B16)?

b) Whether the learned First Appellate Court erred in ignoring the voluminous documents produced by the plaintiffs to prove the effect of oral gift by father to daughter, in the absence of any objection or protest by the defendants for more than three decades?



WEB COPY

c) Has not the learned First Appellate Court erred in not drawing an adverse inference against the defendants who having taken a specific defence plea of permissive possession, has miserably failed to prove the same?"

3. The Registry is directed to call for the entire records from the Courts below concerned.

4. List the second appeal on 18.02.2026.

20.01.2026

pvs



WEB COPY



T.V.THAMILSELVI, J.

pvs

SA.No.882 of 2021

20.01.2026