



WEB COPY



WMP No.23159 of 2026 in Rev.Aplw SR No.86101 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 12.06.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. SUSHRUT ARVIND DHARMADHIKARI,
CHIEF JUSTICE

AND

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.ARUL MURUGAN

WMP No.23159 of 2026
in Rev.Aplw. SR No.86101 of 2026

Metropolitan Transport Corporation
Oozhiyar Munnetra Sangam
Rep. by T.Saravanakumar
General Secretary
Pallavan Salai (Near Kalaiarangam)
Chennai 600002 and 2 others

Applicant(s)

Vs

D.Arumugam
General Secretary
Metropolitan Transport Corporation
Oozhiyar Munnerta Sangam
Pallavan Salai (Near Kalairangam)
Chennai-600 002 and 2 others

Respondent(s)

For Applicant(s): Mr.V.Prakash
Senior Counsel
for Mr.S.Gokul

For Respondent(s): Mr.V.Sudalai Kannu
for R1

Mr. AR.L.Sundaresan



WEB COPY



WMP No.23159 of 2026 in Rev.Aplw SR No.86101 of 2026

Additional Solicitor General of India
assisted by Mr.A.Kumaraguru for R2

Mr.K.Kumaran
Government Pleader for R3

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by the Hon'ble Chief Justice)

Heard on the application for grant of leave.

2. Learned Senior Counsel for the applicants submitted that the applicants have filed O.P.No.9 of 2026 under Section 33 of the Industrial Relations Code, 2020 before the Industrial Tribunal in respect of their right to continue as office bearers of the first applicant Union. This Court, vide order dated 9.3.2026 passed in C.R.P.Nos.1417 and 1422 of 2026, had transferred the suits, being O.S.Nos.5938 of 2025 and 842 of 2026, pertaining to the same subject-matter to the Industrial Tribunal, Chennai and the Tribunal is presently hearing the same having re-numbered as O.P.Nos.10 and 11 of 2026, respectively.

3. Learned Senior Counsel further submitted that when the validity of Section 22 of the Industrial Relations Code was challenged



WMP No.23159 of 2026 in Rev.Aplw SR No.86101 of 2026

by the first respondent/writ petitioner, in the context of pendency of these proceedings, the writ petitioner, in all fairness, ought to have made the applicants also parties to the writ petition. However, without disclosing the factual situation and also the order dated 9.3.2026 passed in the Civil Revision Petitions, the writ petitioner filed the writ petition and obtained the order. Therefore, it is necessary that the applicants be heard in the matter and leave be granted to file review application against the order dated 27.4.2026 passed in W.P.No.11416 of 2026.

4. For the reasons stated in the application, the application seeking leave is allowed.

5. Registry is directed to number the review application, if it is otherwise in order and list for admission next week.

(SUSHRUT ARVIND DHARMADHIKARI,CJ) (G.ARUL MURUGAN,J)
12.06.2026

bbr