



O.A.No.484 to 486 of 2025, C.S.No.104 of 2025,
and A.No.2267 & 4497 of 2025

WEB COPY

DR.A.D.MARIA CLETE,J.,

Having heard the learned counsel for the plaintiff, the learned counsel counsel for the defendants 1 to 4 and the learned counsel for defendants 8 to 26, it is submitted by both sides that the dispute in the present suit revolves around the legality of the regularisation of the General Council Meeting.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the defendants 8 to 26 submitted that an order has already been passed by the Statutory Registrar extending the term of the General Council for an another term of three years.

3. However, the learned counsel for the plaintiff seeks to sustain the suit by contending that, at the time when the suit was filed and the General Council was challenged, there was no such order passed by the Additional Registrar.

4. Be that as it may, certain applications pending, particularly, one relating to the reject plaint filed by the defendants 5, 6 and 7 seeking rejection of the plaint.



WEB COPY



DR.A.D.MARIA CLETE,J.,

mrp

5. None has appeared on behalf of the defendants, 5,6, and 7.

6. List the application for rejection of plaint in A.No.4497 of 2025 on 24.03.2026 under the caption “for dismissal” and also for the appearance of the learned counsel for the defendants 5,6, and 7.

7. In the meanwhile, all the defendants are directed to file their written statements in accordance with law.

12.03.2026

mrp

O.A.No.484 to 486 of 2025,

C.S.No.104 of 2025,

and A.No.2267 & 4497 of 2025