



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 31-08-2026

CORAM

WEB COPY

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

WP Nos. 21965 and 22380 of 2025

Dr.R.Chandrasekaran

S/o.K.Rajappa, Old No.50, New No. 110, Samy

Pillai Street, Choolai, Chennai- 112.

..Petitioner(s) in
W.P.No.21965 of 2025


L.Saravanakumar

... Petitioner in
W.P.No.22380 of 2025

Vs

1. The District Collector
Chengalpattu Collector Office, Chengalpattu District.
2. The District Revenue Officer
Chengalpattu , Chengalpattu District.
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer
Madhuranthagam, Chengalpattu District.
4. The Tahsildar
Madhuranthagam, Chengalpattu District.
5. Arungunam S.Vinayagam,
Dr.Ambedkar Nagar, Arungunam Village And
Post, Madhurandhagam Taluk, Chengalpet
District - 603 306. (R5 Impleaded Vide Order
Dated 21.01.2026 Made In Wmp.56043 and
56045/2025 In Wp.21965/2025)

..Respondent(s)



Common Prayer: Calling for the records of the common Impugned order passed in Na.Ka. No.3260/ 2010/C dated 23.09.2024 on the file of the 3rd respondent and quash the same in so far the petitioners alone and consequently direct the Respondent to restore the patta in the name of the petitioners.

For Petitioner(s): Mr. M.Vijayakumar

For Respondent(s): Ms. Inthu Karunakaran
Government Counsel for R1 to R4

MR.A.Karthikeyan for R5

COMMON ORDER

When the matter was taken up for hearing on 24.08.2026, this Court passed the following order:

Today, while arguing the matters, with regard to the subject matter of the lands, two different documents have been produced before this court by the learned Government Counsel appearing for the respondents 1 to 4 and also by the learned counsel for the 5th respondent. On perusal, it appears that one document is with regard to issuance of assignment patta to the beneficiaries, belonging to different communities, based on their enjoyments in the lands and the another document is relating to the issuance of patta to the beneficiaries belonging to Schedule Caste community as it is D.C. lands.

2. In such circumstances, the learned Government Counsel appearing for the official respondent is directed to file a report as to whether assignment order was issued to the beneficiaries, based



WEB COPY



on their enjoyment or it was assigned to the schedule caste landless people for agriculture purpose, along with the original records.

3. List the matter on 01.09.2026.


2. Today, an Assignment Deed has been produced to show that the land was assigned to one of the beneficiaries. However, the same is in direct contradiction with the document dated 31.01.1985 produced by the petitioner. The revenue authorities are, therefore, directed not to rely solely on the documents produced by the private parties concerned, but to verify and maintain the records regarding the assignment.

3. This Court directs the production of the assignment records based strictly on the official office records, and not based on the documents provided by private parties. Accordingly, the third and fourth respondents are directed to appear before this Court in person, along with the relevant original documents as ordered by this Court on 24.08.2026. In the event they are not in a position to produce the same, the first respondent shall also appear before this Court to submit an explanation.

Post the matter on 07.09.2026.

sk

31-08-2026



To

1. The District Collector
Chengalpattu Collector Office, Chengalpattu
District.
2. The District Revenue Officer
Chengalpattu , Chengalpattu District.
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer
Madhuranthagam, Chengalpattu District.
4. The Tahsildar
Madhuranthagam, Chengalpattu District.



WEB COPY

WP Nos. 21965 and 22380 of 2



KRISHNAN RAMASAMY, J.

sk

WP Nos. 21965 and 22380 of 2025

31-08-2026