



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 24-04-2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

CRP No. 2845 of 2025

WEB COPY

1. V M Kanniappan
S/o. Munusamy Naicker, Mariamman
Koil Street, Vengalathur Village,
Cheyyar Taluk, Tiruvannamalai District

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. Venkatesan
S/o. Munusamy Naicker, Residing at No.75A, New
No.25, mariamman Koil street, Kaveripakkam
Village, Arakonam Taluk

2.Poongodi
W/o. Venkatesan, Residing at No.75A, New No.25,
mariamman Koil street, Kaveripakkam Village,
Arakonam Taluk

3.The Thasildar
Cheyyar

4.The District Collector
Thiruvannamalai district

5.The Sub Registrar
Vembakkam

6.The District Registrar
Cheyyar

7.The Thasildar
Vembakkam



8. The District Revenue Officer
Thiruvannamalai

Respondent(s)

WEB COPY

PRAYER

Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of Constitution of India, praying to set aside the order and decretal order dated 09-12-2024 passed by the Principal Sub Court, Cheyyar in I.A.No.1/2024 in A.S.No.43/2022 and allow the revision and thus render justice.


For Petitioner(s): Mr.S.Baskaran

For Respondent(s): MR.D.Ashokkumar FOR RR1
and 2
MR.V.RAMESH GA FOR RR3
TO R8

ORDER

The revision petitioner herein is the appellant in A.S.No.43 of 2022 and filed Interlocutory Application in I.A.No.1 of 2024 praying to receive additional documents under Order 41 Rule 27 CPC. But that application was dismissed by the 1st Appellate Judge after hearing both sides on 09.12.2024. Aggrieved by the same, this revision petition is filed by the revision petitioner/applicant.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the said application to receive additional documents ought to have taken up along with the 1st appeal, however, at the conclusion of the argument stage only, the 1st appellate Judge



ought to have decided whether those documents are necessary or not. Without exercising such procedure, the learned 1st Appellate Judge delivered separate order and erroneously dismissed I.A.No.1 of 2024. Therefore, the present revision has been filed.

3. But as on date, Appeal Suit No.43 of 2022 was disposed of and challenging the said judgment dated 11.12.2024 passed in 1st appeal, Second Appeal No.380 of 2025 is filed. However, it is procedural mistake committed by the 1st appellate court. When the application is filed under Section Order 41 Rule 27 of CPC, the said application ought to be decided by the court along with Appeal A.S.No.43 of 2022. Therefore, the order passed by the 1st appellate Judge in I.A.No.1 of 2024 is set aside. The said application is directed to be tried along with A.S.No.43 of 2022.

4. This Civil Revision Petition is disposed of with the above direction. No costs.

24-04-2026

nvsri

Index: Yes/No

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

To

1. The Principal Sub Judge, Principal Sub Court, Cheyyar.

3 / 4



CRP No. 2845 of 2025



2. The Section Officer, V.R.Section, High Court, Madras.

T.V.THAMILSELVI J.

nvsri

WEB COPY

CRP No. 2845 of 2025

24-04-2026