



WEB COPY



C.M.A.No.1082 of 2024
and C.M.P.No.10032 of 2024

C.M.A.No.1082 of 2024
and
C.M.P.No.10032 of 2024

N.ANAND VENKATESH, J

The main ground that was urged by learned counsel for appellant is that the Tribunal had fixed an exorbitant amount towards the monthly income of the deceased. It was further contended that the deceased was a bachelor in this case aged about 23 years and the claimants are parents and brother, whereas, the Tribunal had deducted only 1/3 towards personal expenses and the Tribunal ought to have deducted 1/2 towards personal expenses.

2. Notice to respondents 1 to 3 returnable by 22.07.2024. Private notice is also permitted.

3. Notice to fourth respondent is dispensed with since the fourth respondent remained *ex parte* before the Tribunal.



WEB COPY



C.M.A.No.1082 of 2024
and C.M.P.No.10032 of 2024

N.ANAND VENKATESH, J

4. There shall be an order of interim stay subject to the condition that the appellant insurance company deposits a sum of Rs.15,00,000/- along with interest to the credit of M.C.O.P.No.165 of 2019 on the file of Exclusive Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Dharmapuri, within a period of four (4) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

Post this appeal on 22.07.2024.

03.06.2024

gm

C.M.A.No.1082 of 2024
and
C.M.P.No.10032 of 2024