



CRP No. 3079 of 2026



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 02-06-2026

WEB COPY

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

CRP No. 3079 of 2026

1. Integrated Finance Company Limited
Vairam 112, Thyagaraya Road, T.Nagar,
Chennai 600 017. Branch Office Inter-
alia at 1-D, Century Plaza, 1st Floor
560/562, Anna Salai, Teynampet
Chennai 600 018 Having its registered
office at No.10, R Block II Floor, Prem
Nagar Colony, South Boag Road
T.Nagar, Chennai 600 017 Rep by its
authorized signatory A.Hema Jothi

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. Ashok Nambiar
Res at AC 13, Tarangini Apartments,
Alacrity Flats, West Mogappair,
Chennai 600 058

2.J.P.Diaz,
No.197, Flat No.2074, Jeevan Bhima
Colony, Anna Nagar West Extn Chennai
600 101

Respondent(s)

CRP No. 3079 of 2026

PRAYER

To set aside the Order dated 11.12.2025 passed in EP.No.2592 of 2019 by the Honble XXVII Assistant City Civil Court at Allikulam, Chennai and direct the Honble XXVII Assistant City Civil Court at Allikulam, Chennai to dispose of the EP.No.2952 of 2019 on merits and pass such further or other orders in the facts and circumstances of the case and thus render justice



WEB COPY

CRP No. 3079 of 2026



CRP No. 3079 of 2026

For Petitioner(s): V.P.Raman k.seshasayee
R.B.Rishabh

For Respondent(s):

ORDER

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that son of the judgement debtor Vinod Nambiar, who is not party to the proceedings filed a memo stating that the his father/deGREE holder lost his memory without supporting any medical records, based on that memo the Trial Court closed the Execution Petition as such is unsustainable in law for the reason that the executing court failed to consider the order dated 23.11.2023 passed by this Court in A. No. 4804 of 2018 in C.S No. 591 of 2010 and C.S No. 689 of 2014 is only an administrative order and not a judicial order. An Administrative order does not nullify a judicial order of appointment of auhtorized signatory of the petitioner. Until the order dated 10.02.2015 is set aside or modified, all acts and deeds of the authorized signatory are valid and there is no impediment for the authorized signatory to represent the interest of the company.

2. Further submits that the executing Court ought to have considered that the order dated 23.11.2023 passed by this Court in in A. No. 4804 of 2018 in C.S No. 591 of 2010 and C.S No. 689 of 2014 is only an expression of opinion of the concerned judge and order does not conclusively decides the right of the authorized signatory to represent the petitioner company.



CRP No. 3079 of 2026



3. Issue notice to the respondent returnable by 23.06.2026. Private notice

is also permitted. Post the matter on 23.06.2026.

WEB COPY

02-06-2026

pbl

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No



WEB COPY

CRP No. 3079 of 2026



T.V.THAMILSELVI J.
pbl

CRP No. 3079 of 2026

02-06-2026