



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 07-04-2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE ABDUL QUDDHOSE

WP No. 11904 of 2026

WEB COPY

1. K.Jeyasheelan
S/o. Kannaiya, Dr. Ambedkar Street,
Renderipattu village, R.Kundrathur
post, Polur Taluk, Tiruvannamalai
district, 606 803

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The Revenue Divisional Officer
Revenue Divisional office,
Tiruvannamalai district

2.The Tahsildar
O/o. Taluk office, Polur Tiruvannamalai
district

3.Aruldoss
S/o. Arumugam Renderipattu village,
Polur, Tiruvannamalai district

Respondent(s)

WP No. 11904 of 2026

PRAYER

Directing the first respondent to pass an order upon the petitioners representation dated 18.11.2025 with respect to delete the name of 3rd respondent issued a patta No. 20 by the 2nd respondent wrongly added his name in the petitioners ancestral properties situated at Renderipattu village, Polur Taluk, Tiruvannamalai district and also to issue a fresh patta to petitioner

WP No. 11904 of 2026

For Petitioner(s): K.L.Sekar



WEB COPY

WP No. 11904 of 2026



For Respondent: Mr. D.Ravichander, learned
Special Government Pleader for
R1 and R2

R3 – notice dispensed with

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed seeking for a direction to the first respondent to cancel patta No.20 standing in the name of the third respondent for the property, morefully described in the prayer to this writ petition, based on the petitioner's representation dated 18.11.2025 within a time frame to be fixed by this Court.

2. The petitioner claims that the third respondent has illegally obtained patta No.20 in his favour for the property, morefully described in the prayer to this writ petition. The petitioner has filed supporting documents along with this writ petition to substantiate his case that patta No.20 has been issued illegally in favour of the third respondent. The petitioner had given a representation on 18.11.2025 to the official respondents seeking for cancellation of patta No.20 standing in the name of the third respondent. Since the same has not been considered, the petitioner has filed this writ petition.

3. Mr. D.Ravichander, learned Special Government Pleader accepts notice on behalf of the respondents 1 and 2. Since no adverse order is passed against the third respondent, notice to the third respondent is dispensed with.



4. No prejudice would be caused to the official respondents if the petitioner's representation as stated supra is considered, on merits and in accordance with law, after hearing the objections of the third respondent and any other third party whom the first respondent deems it fit to enquire within a time frame to be fixed by this Court.

5. This Court is not expressing any opinion on the merits of the petitioner's representation.

6. For the foregoing reasons, this writ petition is disposed of by directing the first respondent to pass final orders, on merits and in accordance with law on the petitioner's representation dated 18.11.2025 seeking for cancellation of patta No.20 standing in the name of the third respondent for the property, morefully described in the prayer to this writ petition, after hearing the objections of the third respondent and also any other third party whom the first respondent deems it fit to enquire and after giving due consideration to the supporting documents produced by the petitioner within a period of 12 weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs.

07-04-2026



WP No. 11904 of 2026




Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

ab



WP No. 11904 of 2026



WP No. 11904 of 2026

WEB COPY

To

1.The Revenue Divisional Officer
Revenue Divisional office,
Tiruvannamalai district

2.The Tahsildar
O/o. Taluk office, Polur Tiruvannamalai
district



WEB COPY

WP No. 11904 of 2026



ABDUL QUDDHOSE J.

ab

WP No. 11904 of 2026

07-04-2026