

S.A.No.569 of 2021**P.T.ASHA, J**

This Second Appeal is admitted on the following substantial questions of law:

"(a) Whether the lower appellate Court is justified in dismissing the suit especially when the vendor under Ex.B1 (dated 27.06.2005) did not obtain the permission from a competent court as mandated under Section 8 of Hindu Minority and Guardianship Act for alienating the share of the physically challenged minors?

(b) The appellants 1 and 2 being the co-parceners in the suit schedule properties need not challenge the sale deed Ex.B1 dated 27.06.2005 as null and void as their guardian has no right to alienate their share without permission from a competent Court?

© Whether the lower appellate Court is right in dismissing the suit on the ground that the plaintiffs ought to have sought for the relief of setting aside Ex.B1 especially when the defendant had not taken a specific stand in his written statement to that effect?

Notice.

11.08.2021


kal

(1/2)

Note: Registry is directed to send a copy of the Substantial Questions of Law framed by this Court along with the Notice.

P.T. ASHA, J

kal




S.A.No.569 of 2021

WEB COPY

11.08.2021

(1/2)



WEB COPY