



WEB COPY

WP No. 10932 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 27-03-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ABDUL QUDDHOSE

WP No. 10932 of 2026

Arulmighu Pillaiyar Mariamman Thirukovil
Rep. by its Dharmakarthha B.R.Arul Prakasam,
Belukurichi,
Senthamangalam Taluk,
Namakkal District.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The District Collector
Collectorate,
Namakkal District.
2. The Revenue Tahsildar
Sendamangalam Taluk,
Namakkal District.
3. The Block Development officer
(Village panchayat)
Sendamangalam panchayat union,
Sendamangalam,
Namakkal District.
4. Belukurichi village panchayat,
Rep. by its Secretary,
Belukurichi,
Sendamangalam Union,
Namakkal District.
5. The Inspector of Police
Belukurichi police station,
Namakkal District.

..Respondent(s)



WEB COPY



For Petitioner(s):

Mr.N.Manokaran

For Respondent(s):

Mr.K.Surendran, AGP for R1 & R2

Mr.S.Balamurugan, GA for R3


Mr.V.Meganathan, GA for R5

ORDER

In this writ petition, the petitioner temple is aggrieved by the conduct of the weekly sandai held every Saturday by the respondents.

2. The petitioner temple claims that by virtue of the judgment and decree dated 11.11.1998 passed in O.S.No.404 of 1990 on the file of the Additional District Munsif Court, Namakkal, they are the owner of the subject property. According to them, they are having the benefit of several final orders passed by this Court in the writ petitions restraining the respondents from interfering with their peaceful possession and enjoyment of the subject property. According to the petitioner, despite the same, the third respondent is now permitting weekly sandhai every saturday in the petitioner's property.

3. Mr.K.Surendran, learned AGP, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents 1 and 2, and he has obtained written instructions from the second respondent, which has also been placed on record before this Court. He would submit that the second respondent has been conducting weekly sandhai for the past 50 years in the subject property.



4. The learned counsel for the petitioner on instructions submits that the statement made by the second respondent is not true. At the same time, he would submit that if an affidavit of undertaking is filed by the second respondent stating that the weekly sandhai in the petitioner's property will be conducted only once a year and not every saturday, the petitioner has got no objection for the same. Therefore, the second respondent is directed to file an affidavit before this Court on the next hearing date stating that the third respondent will be conducting weekly sandhai once a year and not every saturday as claimed by the petitioner.

Post the matter for filing affidavit by the respondents 2 and 3 on 10.04.2026.

27-03-2026

RKM



WEB COPY

WP No. 10932 of 2



ABDUL QUDDHOSE, J.

RKM

To

1. The District Collector
Namakkal District.
2. The Revenue Tahsildar
Sendamangalam Taluk,
Namakkal District.
3. The Block Development officer
(Village panchayat)
Sendamangalam panchayat union,
Namakkal District.
4. Belukurichi village panchayat,
Rep. by its Secretary,
Belukurichi,
Sendamangalam Union,
Namakkal District.
5. The Inspector of Police
Belukurichi police station,
Namakkal District.

WP No. 10932 of 2026

27-03-2026