



C.R.P.No.1333 of 2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 02.12.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SOUNTHAR

C.R.P.No.1333 of 2023

and

C.M.P.Nos.8918 & 8931 of 2023

1.Vinoth Kumar
2.Ilamathi
3.Govindharaj
4.Sangeetha
5.Sujitha

...Petitioners

-Vs-

K.Priyanka

...Respondent

PRAYER: Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, praying to call for the records pertaining to the impugned proceedings in DVC.No.15 of 2022 pending on the file of the District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate Court, Neyveli, Cuddalore District, and to quash the same and pass such further orders.

For Petitioners : Mr.S.Venkatesan

For Respondent : Not ready notice

ORDER

This civil revision petition is filed seeking to quash the complaint in DVC.No.15 of 2022 pending on the file of the District Munsif cum Judicial




C.R.P.No.1333 of 2023

Magistrate Court, Neyveli, Cuddalore District, preferred by the 1st petitioner/husband against the respondent/wife under the provisions of the Domestic Violence Act.

2. It is the case of the petitioners that the 1st petitioner is the husband, 2nd petitioner is the mother-in-law, 3rd petitioner is the father-in-law, 4th and 5th petitioners are sister-in-law of the respondent. The allegations made in the complaint are very vague and hence, the Magistrate ought not to have issued process to the petitioners.

3. In view of the Law settled by this Court in *Arul Daniel and Others Versus Suganya reported in (2022) SCC Online Mad 5435*, if the petitioners are aggrieved by the initiation of the proceedings under the Domestic Violence Act, it is for them to move the very same learned Magistrate, raising preliminary objections. The relevant observation of the Full Bench reads as follows:-

“87(vii) As there is no issuance of process as contemplated under Section 204, Cr.P.C. in a proceeding under the D.V. Act, the principle laid down in Adalat Prasad v. Rooplal Jindal ((2004) 7 SCC 338) that a process, under Section 204, Cr.P.C, once issued cannot be reviewed or




C.R.P.No.1333 of 2023

WEB COPY

recalled, will not apply to a proceeding under the D.V. Act. Consequently, it would be open to an aggrieved respondent (s) to approach the Magistrate and raise the issue of maintainability and other preliminary issues. Issues like the existence of a shared household/domestic relationship etc., which form the jurisdictional basis for entertaining an application under Section 12, can be determined as a preliminary issue, in appropriate cases. Any person aggrieved by such an order may also take recourse to an appeal under Section 29 of the D.V. Act for effective redress (See V.K. Vijayalekshmi Amma v. Bindu V., (2010) 87 AIC 367). This would stem the deluge of petitions challenging the maintainability of an application under Section 12 of the D.V. Act, at the threshold before this Court under Article 227 of the Constitution.”

Hence, this Court is not inclined to exercise its Supervisory Power available under Article 227 of Constitution of India to quash the complaint.

4. Accordingly, this civil revision petition is dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, connected civil miscellaneous petitions are closed. The petitioners are at liberty to move the concerned learned Magistrate for getting appropriate remedy as per the law laid down in **Arul Daniel case**.



WEB COPY



C.R.P.No.1333 of 2023

S.SOUNTHAR, J.

cda

5. Taking into consideration the proceedings initiated before the learned Magistrate is predominantly civil in nature, the personal appearance of the petitioners during enquiry before the learned Magistrate is dispensed with, unless their personal appearance are absolutely necessary.

02.12.2025

cda

To

The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate Court,
Neyveli, Cuddalore District.

C.R.P.No.1333 of 2023