



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 09-04-2026

WEB COPY

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

**CRP No. 1217 of 2025
AND
CMP Nos. 7341 & 10314 of 2025**

1. A. Prabha
W/o. A. Parselvan, D/o. Ambalavanan,
No.164/3, Thulasingham Street,
Perambur (North), Chennai - 011.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. A. Parselvan
S/o. N. Aravazhi, No.5323 N.
MacArthur Blvd., Apt 2073, Irving,
Texas 75038, USA. and also having res.
at No.12, Kalasathamman Street,
Lakshmi Amman Nagar,
Erukkancherry, Chennai - 118.

Respondent(s)

CMP No. 7341 of 2025

1. A. Prabha
W/o. A. Parselvan, D/o. Ambalavanan,
No.164/3, Thulasingham Street,
Perambur (North), Chennai - 011.

Appellant(s)

Vs

1. A. Parselvan
S/o. N. Aravazhi, No.5323 N.
MacArthur Blvd., Apt 2073, Irving,
Texas 75038, USA. and also having res.



at No.12, Kalasathamman Street,
Lakshmi Amman Nagar,
Erukkancherry, Chennai - 118.

WEB COPY

Respondent(s)

CMP No. 10314 of 2025

1. A. Parselvan
S/o. N. Aravazhi, No.5323 N.
MacArthur Blvd., Apt 2073, Irving,
Texas 75038, USA. and also having res.
at No.12, Kalasathamman Street,
Lakshmi Amman Nagar,
Erukkancherry, Chennai - 118.

Appellant(s)

Vs

1. A. Prabha
W/o. A. Parselvan, No.164/3,
Thulasingham Street, Perambur (North),
Chennai - 011.

Respondent(s)

CRP No. 1217 of 2025

For Petitioner(s): Mr.J. Saravana Vel

For Respondent(s): Ms.Sudharshana Sunder

ORDER

The respondent is directed to pay Rs.25,000/- as interim maintenance and directed to pay the arrears for atleast 20 months. The arrears to be paid within twelve weeks without prejudice to the contentions of both the parties. But as on date, nearly about ten years they were separated and they have no issues. Considering the fact that parties are willing to go for settlement, to report settlement if any, list the matter on 11.06.2026. Till then, he is directed to pay



maintenance of Rs.25,000/- per month.

2. On perusal of the order it reveals that the learned trial judge dismissed the application of the interim maintenance the reason assigned as such is not acceptable in law. Admittedly, there is no proof that the revision petitioner is having course of law. But the learned counsel for the respondent also reply that now only he got some employment. Therefore earlier he was unemployed and thus, the court below dismissed the interim petition. But however he is ready to settle the issue. Therefore, the findings of the trial judge is set aside and directed to pay interim maintenance to the petitioner.

3. Post the matter under the caption 'For Reporting Settlement' on 11.06.2026.

09-04-2026

MTL



WEB COPY

CRP No. 1217 of
AND CMP Nos. 7341 & 10314 of



T.V.THAMILSELVI, J.

MTL

**CRP No. 1217 of 2025
AND
CMP Nos. 7341 & 10314 of 2025**

09-04-2026