



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 21-01-2026

WEB COPY

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V. LAKSHMINARAYANAN

WP No. 1383 of 2026

and

WMP Nos.1542 & 1543 of 2026

M/s.VSM Weaves India Pvt. Ltd.,
Rep. by its Director, Mr.M.Ravichandran,
Office at SF No. 334, Sankari Main Road, E.Kattur,
Elanthakuttai, Erode 638 008.

Petitioner(s)

Vs


1. The Chairperson
Micro Small Enterprises Facilitation Council (MSEFC) and
Industries Commissioner and
Director of Industries and Commerce,
A 30, T.I.VI.KA. Industrial Estate, Guindy,
Chennai 600 032.

2.The Regional Micro Small Enterprises Facilitation Council
Coimbatore Region,
No.2 Raja Street, Coimbatore 641 001.

3.M/s.Sri Moogambigai Infra Solutions,
Rep. by its Partner Mr.K.M.Velumani,
60C, Collector Sivakumar Street,
Saibaba Colony, Coimbatore 641 038.

4.M/s.Shivaa Ghowri Consultancy,
Rep. by its Consultant Mr.K.Balashanmugam,
6B Muthu Nagar II, Varadharajapuram,
Coimbatore 641 015.

Respondent(s)



PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, for issuance of Writ of Certiorari, calling for the records of the 1st and 2nd respondent in OP/MSEFC/CBER/58/2024 and quash the summons dated 03.02.2025 and thereby set aside the said entire proceedings against the petitioner.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.J.Suresh

For Respondents: Mr.M.Shajahan
Special Government Pleader for
R1 and R2

ORDER

The petitioner challenges the summons that has been issued by the 2nd respondent.

2. The 4th respondent has filed an application invoking Section 18(1) of the MSME Act. Acting on the said application, the 2nd respondent has issued summons to the petitioner. The petitioner pleads that no records have been produced by the 4th respondent to substantiate the claim. This is not an issue, which can be gone into by this Court, exercising Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

3. The summons issued by the Authority can be quashed, if he has no jurisdiction to issue the same.

4. The perusal of Section 18(1) of MSME Act, shows that in case the dispute is presented to a Council, it is duty bound to take the same on file and



issue notice to the opposite party. It is the statutory duty that has been performed by the 2nd respondent. When the statute has empowered, the 2nd respondent issued notice, a writ of certiorari is not maintainable to quash the same.

5. The learned counsel for the petitioner reports that he has already given an explanation that there is no relationship between the petitioner and the 4th respondent. I am sure, if such reply is presented to 2nd respondent, it will look into records and pass appropriate orders.


6. With the above observations, this Writ Petition is dismissed. No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

21-01-2026

Jd
Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Internet: Yes
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

To
1. The Chairperson
Micro Small Enterprises Facilitation Council (MSEFC) and
Industries Commissioner and
Director of Industries and Commerce,
A 30 T.I.VI.KA. Industrial Estate, Guindy,
Chennai 600 032.

2. The Regional Micro Small Enterprises Facilitation Council



Coimbatore Region,
No.2 Raja Street, Coimbatore 641 001.

WEB COPY

WP No. 1383 of 2026



V.LAKSHMINARAYANAN J.
jd

WP No. 1383 of 2026

21-01-2026