

S.A.No.262 of 2015**PUSHPA SATHYANARAYANA.J,**

Heard. This Second Appeal is admitted on the following substantial questions of law:

a.Whether in law the Courts below are right in failing to see that whatever right the 1st respondent might have had in the suit properties, got extinguished by the passage of 30 years and also as she was ousted from all the properties.

b.Whether in law the Courts below are right in overlooking the admissions of the first respondent and the recitals of Exs.B1 and B17 settlement deeds and coming to an erroneous conclusion, warranting interference under Section 100 CPC?

Notice.

01.06.2015

1/2

ds/srn

M.P. No.1 of 2015
in
S.A.No.262 of 2015

PUSHPA SATHYANARAYANA.J,

Notice to the respondents returnable
in four weeks. Private notice is also
permitted.

There shall be an order of interim
stay till then.

01.06.2015

2/2

ds/srn