



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 21-01-2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI

**WP No. 6648 of 2024
and WMP No. 7394 and 7396 OF 2024**

K.K.Vignesh

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The Controller Of Examination
Tamil Nadu Public Service
Commission, TnpSC Road, Park Town,
Chennai-600 003.


Respondent(s)

PRAYER: This writ petition is filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records relating to the impugned order passed by the respondent dated 19.01.2024 in Memorandum No. 1602 / APD-D2 / 2022 date 19.01.2023 and quash the same as arbitrary, violative of principles of natural justice and consequently direct the respondent to permit the petitioner to appear in the all examinations to be conducted by the TNPSC.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.L.Chandrakumar
For Respondent(s): Mr.R.Bharanidharan

ORDER

Aggrieved by the order passed by the respondent, dated 19.01.2023, the present writ petition has been filed.



WEB COPY

2. The petitioner has appeared for Civil Judge examination conducted by the respondent in the year 2023. While the petitioner attended examination conducted on 05.11.2023, the petitioner was found in possession of Bluetooth device. Therefore, he was issued with show cause notice on 12.12.2023; for which, the petitioner submitted his explanation on 18.12.2023. Not satisfied with the explanation offered by the petitioner, the respondent passed the impugned order permanently debarring the petitioner for appearing on any examination conducted by the TNPSC. Questioning the legality and correctness of the same, the present writ petition has been filed.

3. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

4. The explanation officered by the petitioner to the showcase notice is as vague as it could be and it is totally unacceptable. The petitioner is Law Graduate and is aspiring to become a Civil Judge. The respondent Commission has given a list of do's and don'ts and carrying an electronic device in any form is totally prohibited in any examination. That being so, the fact that the petitioner was found in possession of bluetooth, is highly condemnable. Being a student of law and also aspiring to be a Civil Judge, it was totally unexpected the petitioner to carry an electronic device to the examination hall. This Court does not find any merit in the averments made in the petition and also arguments



advanced by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

WEB COPY

5. The writ petition stands dismissed. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

21-01-2026

rli

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

To

1. The Controller Of Examination
Tamil Nadu Public Service
Commission, TnpSC Road, Park Town,
Chennai-600 003.



WEB COPY

WP No. 6648 of 2024



M.DHANDAPANI J.

rli

WP No. 6648 of 2024

21-01-2026