

BEFORE THE ADDITIONAL MASTER-II

HIGH COURT, MADRAS

C.S.No. 203 OF 2022

Date : 25.03.2024

Time : 12.20 P.M.

Name : Mrs. N.Krishna Prabha (PW1)

PW1 Cross Examined by Learned counsel for the Defendant 3 to 5
M/s.Madhupreetha Elango.

Solemnly affirmed:

Q27: Who maintained the accounts pertaining your father's business?

A: My father Mr.R.Nandhagopal.

Q28: Who filed IT returns of your father's business?

A: My father Mr.R.Nandhagopal.

Q29: Who were the Auditors of your father's business?

A: I do not remember their names.

Q30: Have you stated anywhere in your pleading or your proof affidavit as
to what your roles and responsibilities in your father's business were?

A: No.

Q31: What was your father's business?

A: Edible Oil business.

Q32: Is it correct to state that your father's Edible Oil business was closed
at some point?

A: Yes.

Q33: When was it closed?

A: I do not remember.

Q34: What did your father do for his income/livelihood after closure of the Edible Oil business?

A: He was dependant on the rent.

Q35: Is it correct to state that your sister Mrs.Sucharita, 1st plaintiff did not attend your father's first death anniversary ceremony?

A: Yes, she did not attend.

Q36: Is it correct to state that your sister Mrs.Radhika, 2nd plaintiff did not attend your father's first death anniversary ceremony?

A: Yes, she did not attend.

Q37: Is it correct to state that your father's 90th Birthday was celebrated in 2017?

A: Yes.

Q38: Is it correct to state that the family celebrated this milestone by organizing Sathya Narayana Pooja and Lunch?

A: Yes.

Q39: Is it correct to state that this celebration was attended by more than 100 guests?

A: Yes.

Q40: Is it correct to state that you were given Flat No.3 in Mogappair West by your father?

A: Yes.

Q41: Is it correct to state that the same was given to you in 2017?

A: No.

Q42: Is it correct to state that the afore said flat was given to you by way of settlement deed bearing Document No.866/2017 executed on 02.03.2017?

A: Yes.

Q43: Is it correct to state that your father Mr.Nandhagopal Naidu was in a sound state of mind while executing the afore said settlement deed?

A: (Witness taking long time for answering and it is recorded at the request of D3 to D5) I did not know when the settlement deed was made, I did not know whether he was in a sound state of mind.

Q44: Para 11 of the proof affidavit. In light of your answer to question number 43, I put it to you that your statement “It is baffling to note executed by him in favour of N.Shyam Sunder.” is incorrect?

A: What is said in para 11 in my proof affidavit is correct.

Q45: Are you currently the owner of Flat No.3, Mogappair West?

A: Yes.

Q46: Is it correct to state that you are in enjoyment of Flat No.3, Mogappair West?

A: Yes.

Q47: I put it to you that your entire case that your father was suffering from unsound mind from 2014 onwards is false and complete bogey.

A: No, it is not false.

Q48: Are you aware that between 1989 to 1992 Mrs.Aruna Shyam Sunder(D3) was working as a part time lecturer in VHS, Adyar (Dietary Health Department)?

A: I vaguely remember.

Q49: Is it correct to state that between 1989 to 1992 Mrs.Aruna Shyam Sunder(D3) taught communication skills at Arya Samaj during the weekends?

A: No.

Q50: Are you aware between 1989 to 1992 Mrs.Aruna Shyam Sunder(D3) taught communication skills at Arya Samaj during the weekends?

A: No.

Q51: Are you aware that between 1997 to 2010 Mrs.Aruna Shyam Sunder(D3) was working in consulting company – teaching as part of their CSR?

A: No. She never used to reveal where she works.

Q52: Are you aware that from 2013 onwards Mrs.Aruna Shyam Sunder(D3) was a resource person with Sathyanandha Yoga and was also teaching yoga classes from 6.00 A.M. onwards?

A: She was taking yoga classes.

Q53: Para 6 of her proof affidavit is shown to the witness. In light of your answers to the above questions, I put it to you that your statement that D3 avoided house hold chores is entirely incorrect?

A: What is said in para 6 in my proof affidavit is correct.

Q54: Ex.P1 is shown to the witness. Have you signed the family settlement?

A: No.

Q55: If the properties belonged to the joint Hindu Family as alleged by you, I put it to you that it could not have been settled by way of this unsigned family settlement (Ex.P1).

A: My father, mother, sisters and my brother together came to understanding about the division of properties. We believed in our own blood relations so we did not sign the settlement deed (Ex.P1). But my brother brought in his friend Mr.Mohan and Mr.Raghavan just to witness the family settlement Ex.P1.

Time : 12.55 P.M.

Taken down in open Court, read over and explained to the witness and the same is admitted by the witness to be true and correct and signed before me.

ADDITIONAL MASTER-II