

BEFORE THE ADDITIONAL MASTER-III
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.
C.S.No.716 OF 2015

Date: 20.01.2026

Time: 12.45 P.M

Name : Mrs.Nilofer (PW6)

Cross Examination by Mr.R.Ravindran, Learned counsel for the 1st Defendant.

Solemnly Affirmed:

Q1: (Ex.P9 is shown to the witness). On which date Ex.P9 was executed and registered?

A: 21st August 2012.

Q2: (Ex.P9 is shown to the witness). On which time the registration was taken place?

A: Between 2.00 P.M -3.00 P.M.

Q3: Is it correct to state that your husband (2nd defendant) and yourself personally negotiated with the plaintiff prior to registration of sale?

A: Yes, at the time of negotiation the 1st defendant was also present.

Q4: Is it correct to state that the plaintiff was the absolute owner of the suit property?

A: Yes.

Q5: (Ex.P9 is shown to the witness). Is it correct to state that the 1st defendant was shown in Ex.P9 only for name sake?

A: I do not know.

Q6: (Ex.P9 is shown to the witness). Is it correct to state that prior to execution of Ex.P9, 2nd defendant paid the part sale consideration of Rs.1 Crore by way of demand draft dated 14.08.2012 in favour of plaintiff, Nabeela Ahmed?

A: Yes.

Q7: (Ex.P9 is shown to the witness). Is it correct to state that on the date of registration the remaining sale consideration of Rs.10,00,000/- paid by 2nd defendant to the plaintiff by way of cheque and the same was honoured?

A: Yes.

Q8: (Ex.P9 is shown to the witness). Is it correct to state that you are one of the attesting witness for registration and execution of Ex.P9?

A: Yes.

Q9: (Ex.P9 is shown to the witness). Is it correct to state that the plaintiff, 1st defendant and 2nd defendant affixed their respective passport size photo, their signature and thumb impression in the Sub-Registrar office?

A: Yes.

Q10: Is it correct to state that the plaintiff has not raised any objection to the Sub-Registrar office that she was coerced and forced to execute the subject Sale Deed?

A: Yes. Witness adds: All of them signed in a happy manner and then party is also arranged.

Q11: Is it correct to state that subsequent to Ex.P9 all the original documents pertaining to the suit property and physical possession handed over to the 2nd defendant?

A: Yes.

Q12: Is it correct to state that after the execution of Ex.P9 Property Tax, Patta, Water Tax, Electricity connection are changed in your husband name?

A: Yes.

Q13: I put it to you that Ex.P9, Sale Deed is valid and binding all the parties.

A: Yes.

Q14: I put it to you that this suit is a vexatious suit.

A: Yes.

Cross Examination by Mr.M.P.Mohan Dass, Learned counsel for the 2nd Defendant.

No Cross.

Time : 01.05 P.M.

Taken down in open Court, read over and explained to the witness and the same is admitted by the witness to be correct and signed before me.

ADDITIONAL MASTER-III