

BEFORE THE ADDITIONAL MASTER II,

HIGH COURT, MADRAS

C.S.No.149 of 2015

Date : 13.10.2025

Time : 04.25 P.M.

Name : S.Leonard Vasanth (DW1)

DW1 Cross continuation by Learned counsel for the plaintiff  
Mr.Ashok Menon.

Solemnly affirmed:

Q50: In this case, the 4<sup>th</sup> defendant Mr.Edwin Jayakumar is your advocate conducting this case, is it correct?

A:Yes, there are 4 advocates conducting this case. He is one of them.

Q51:(Ex.P13 is shown to the witness) Is it correct that you purchased the property under Ex.P13 from the plaintiff?

A:Yes.

Q52:After buying the property under Ex.P13, you sold the property to the 4<sup>th</sup> defendant, your advocate, is it correct?

A: Yes.

Q53:Am I right in saying that the plaintiff booked 30 plots of an extent of 36080 Sq.Feet in Vasundara Gardens in Kavanur Village, Arakkonam Taluk from the owner, T.Shanmugam?

A: I deny. I directly bought the plots from T.Shanmugam.

Q54:I put it to you that the 30 Plots were booked by the plaintiff on 09.07.2008 by paying the entire sale consideration Rs.9,75,000/- and as part of the sale consideration for the suit property, T.Shanmugam sold these plots to you as per the request of the plaintiff under the sale deed dated 17.04.2012.

A: I deny. There is no connection between the suit properties and the properties purchased by Shanmugam. That is a different transaction. I directly purchased the property from Shanmugam. The property shows that there is no agreement with anyone.

Q55:(Ex.P14 is shown to the witness) Is Ex.P14 the document under which you purchased these plots?

A:Yes. I purchased these 30 plots(1/2 ground plots) from Shanmugam.

Q56:In your answer to Q54, is it correct that you are stating that these properties have nothing to do with the plaintiff Dr.Murali and that you have purchased them directly from Mr.T.Shanmugam?

A: Yes.

Q57:Am I correct in saying that the plaintiff Dr.Murali is the first witness to Ex.P14?

A:Yes. On that day, Dr.Murali had come to the Registrar's office and I asked him to sign as a witness. Witness now adds: He voluntarily came and signed as witness.

Q58:(Ex.P14 is shown to the witness)Am I correct in saying that you paid a sum of Rs.2,92,495/- towards Stamp duty and registration charges to register Ex.P14 on that day?

A:Yes.

Q59:The guideline value of the property on the day of registration was Rs.39,12,650/- and on that basis you paid a sum of Rs.2,92,495/- towards stamp duty and registration charges, is it correct?

A: I deny. I do not remember.

Q60:Is it correct that it was agreed between you and the plaintiff that the guideline value would be adjusted in the sale price of the Suit A schedule property?

A:No, I deny. Witness adds: The guideline value of the property was much less. This value is not related to the suit property.

Time : 04.50 P.M.

Taken down in open Court, read over and explained to the witness and the same is admitted by the witness to be true and correct and signed before me.

ADDITIONAL MASTER-II