



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated: 12.03.2026

WEB COPY

Coram:

The Honourable Mrs.Justice T.V.THAMILSELVI

**C.R.P.(PD) No.1283 of 2026
and C.M.P.No.6241 of 2026**

M.Hemalatha

...Petitioner

Versus

Ganeshkumar


...Respondent

Prayer: This Civil Revision Petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India praying to set aside the Order dated 07.10.2025 made in I.A.No.1 of 2024 in H.M.O.P.No.73 of 2024 on the file of the learned Sub Judge, Hosur, consequently allow the present Civil Revision Petition.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Bharath Kumar

ORDER

This Criminal Revision Petition has been filed by the Petitioner praying to set aside the Order dated 07.10.2025 in I.A.No.1 of 2024 in H.M.O.P.No.73 of 2024 passed by the learned Sub Judge, Hosur.



WEB COPY

2. The learned counsel for Petitioner submitted that the Respondent and Petitioner are husband and wife and they have a minor daughter viz., Ruthika. The Respondent filed a petition in H.M.O.P.No.151 of 2023 before the Sub Court, Hosur seeking divorce from the Petitioner. The said petition was renumbered as H.M.O.P.No.73 of 2024. During the pendency of H.M.O.P.No.73 of 2024, the Petitioner had filed an Interlocutory Application in I.A.No.1 of 2023 in H.M.O.P.No.73 of 2024 praying to direct the Respondent to pay Rs.30,000/- to the Petitioner towards monthly alimony and Rs.20,000/- towards expenses for conducting the case. However, the learned Sub Judge, Hosur vide Order dated 07.10.2025, dismissed the said Interlocutory Application by holding that the Petitioner has failed to prove her case by way of appropriate documentary evidence.

2.1. It is further submitted by the learned counsel for Petitioner that the Court below has failed to consider that the Petitioner is the sole caretaker and guardian of her minor daughter and she is facing financial crisis and thus, she is unable to meet the day-to-day expenses of her minor daughter.

2.2. The learned counsel for Petitioner also submitted that the Court below has failed to note that the Respondent has been working as a Manager at a Royal Enfield Showroom at Chennai and he is earning about Rs.80,000/- as monthly salary. If the Court below had directed the Respondent to pay some interim maintenance to the Petitioner, the said interim maintenance would have been helpful to the Petitioner to meet the educational expenses of her minor daughter.



WEB COPY

3. Considering the above submissions made by the learned counsel for Petitioner, this Court is inclined to admit this Civil Revision Petition and to grant an order of stay in favour of the Petitioner.

4. Accordingly, this Civil Revision Petition is admitted and the all further proceedings in H.M.O.P.No.73 of 2024 on the file of Sub Court, Hosur is ordered to be stayed till 07.04.2026.

5. Issue notice to the Respondent through Court as well as privately, returnable by 07.04.2026.

6. List the case on 07.04.2026.

12.03.2026

mrr

To

The Sub Judge,
Hosur.



WEB COPY



T.V.THAMILSELVI, J.

mrr

C.R.P.(PD) No.1283 of 2026
and C.M.P.No.6241 of 2026

12.03.2026