



WEB COPY

OA Nos. 532 and 533 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

**DATED: 05-06-2026**

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.KUMARESH BABU**

**OA Nos. 532 and 533 of 2026  
in C.S(COMM DIV) No. 149 of 2026**

M/s Teja Industries  
Represented by its Partner  
Mr.Mandeep Singh Malik,  
No. 8 Ayyencherry Village,  
Urapakkam, Kanchipuram District – 603 210

..Applicant in both  
O.As.,

Vs

Mohammed Shaffi A R

..Respondent in both  
O.As.,

For Applicant : Mr. Aravind Subramaniam, Senior Counsel  
[in both O.As.,] for Ms.Monica Chopda

**COMMON ORDER**

Heard Mr.Aravind Subramaniam, learned Senior Counsel for Ms.Monica Chopda, learned counsel for the applicant.

2. These applications have been filed for the following relief:

**Prayer in O.A.No.532 of 2026:** To grant an ad interim injunction restraining the Respondent, its men, agents and servants from in any manner Passing off its business as that of the



WEB COPY



Applicant's by using the name HIDE CRAFT with or without a similar logo, or by any other identical name or deceptively similar logo as that of the Applicant in its registered mark bearing Nos.2418320, 2418321, 2418322 and 2418323 or any of the other names and logos for which registration has been applied for by the Applicant, pending disposal of the suit.

**Prayer in O.A.NO.533 of 2026:** To grant an ad interim injunction restraining the Respondent, its men, agents or persons acting on their behalf from in any manner infringing the Applicants right in trademark bearing Nos.2418320, 2418321, 2418322 and 2418323 in respect of its business under the name HIDE CRAFT by running a competitive business with identical name or in any manner similar name and logo to that of the Applicant, pending disposal of the suit.

3. The respondent's application had been declared abandoned by the orders of the Department dated 24.03.2025 and that the respondent had also failed to present before the Mediation and the applicant had been using the mark for almost a decade.

4. Considering the arguments and the averments made in the plaint, this Court is of the view that the balance of convenience is in favour of the applicant to grant an order of interim injunction or otherwise, the applicant will be put to irreparable loss. Accordingly, there shall be an order of interim injunction.



Notice to the respondent returnable in six(6) weeks. Private notice is also

WEB COPY  
permitted.

List this case after six(6) weeks.

mp

05-06-2026



WEB COPY

OA Nos. 532 and 533 of 2



**K.KUMARESH BABU J.**

mp

**OA Nos. 532 and 533 of 2026  
in C.S(COMM DIV) No. 149 of 2026**

**05-06-2026**