



S.A.No.892 of 2008

SATHI KUMAR SUKUMARA KURUP, J.

WEB COPY

As per the order dated 08.04.2025, the learned Counsel for the Appellant having revoked his Vakalat, this Court had directed the Registry to print the name of the Appellant and remove the name of the learned Counsel for the Appellant from the cause list and post the Appeal under the caption 'for dismissal'.

2. By inadvertence, this Court had not ordered notice on the Appellant to engage a Counsel to prosecute the Appeal. Therefore, the caption 'for dismissal' is removed. Instead, the Registry is directed to cause notice on the Appellant informing that his Counsel had revoked his Vakalat. Therefore, the Appellant has to engage another Counsel and prosecute the Appeal, failing which he should be warned that the Appeal will be dismissed without any further reference. The notice should specifically state that if the Appellant fails to engage a Counsel, the Appeal will be dismissed.

3. Registry is directed to post the case after completion of service of notice to the Appellant.

22.04.2025

shl

1/2



WEB COPY



SATHI KUMAR SUKUMARA KURUP, J.,

shl

S.A.No.892 of 2008

22.04.2025