



WEB COPY



CS No. 564 of 2006

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 15-10-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE N.SENTHILKUMAR

CS No. 564 of 2006

1. P.Manthiramurthy

Partner, M/s Yule Engineering
Corporation, No.9/5, First Avenue,
Sundar Nagar, Ekkatuthangal,
Chennai 97

Plaintiff(s)

Vs

1. P.Marimuthu

Partner, M/s Yule Engineering
Corporation, No.54 Defence Officers
Colony, Ekkatuthangal, Chennai-97

2.P.Arun

Partner, M/s Yule Engineering
Corporation, No.9/5, First Avenue,
Sundar Nagar, Ekkatuthangal, Ch 97

3.Arun Plasto Moulders India Pvt.Ltd.

C-3, Phase III, Thiru Vi Ka Industrial
Estate, Ch-97,

Rep.By Its Director M.Mariamammal

4.Arun Enterprises

REP.,BY ITS

PROPR.P.MARIMUTHU, NO.54,



CS No. 564 of 2006

DEFENCE OFFICERS COLONY,
EKKATUTHANGAL, CH-97 (D3 and
D4 impleaded as per ord.dt.10/01/2020,
A.157/2020)

Defendant(s)

For Plaintiff(s): M/s.P.Giridharan

For Defendant(s): M/s.Karthik
MUKUNDAN
NEELAKANDAN for D1
Mr.K.Gowthamkumar-
For D2
M/s.T.S.Baskaran
N.Nathami-ms/1981/2014
For D3-d.No.4758/2020
No.302, L.C. High Ct. Ch-140
M/s.Menon, Karthik, Mukundan
And
NEELAKANDAN
For D4-d.No.4756/2020
No.148, Moore St. Ch-1
Mr. V. Srikanth
Receiver (vide Order Dated
13.06.2025)
D4 Written Statement Filed
Mr. S. Ramalingam,
No.192/6, Nalanda Flats,
Shanthi Colony,
Anna Nagar West, Chennai -
600040.
Ex. Receiver



CS No. 564 of 2006

ORDER

WEB COPY

The memo has been filed by the erstwhile Advocate Receiver Mr.S.Ramalingam, District Judge stating that he is now aged about 88 years and is unable to manage the properties without supporting staff. He has further stated that he had filed a memo dated 31.10.2019 seeking to be relieved from his responsibilities and the same was accepted by this Court. Mr.V.Srikanth, learned counsel is now appointed as the new receiver.

2. The subject matter before this Court is with regard to handing over of the keys, as the erstwhile receiver stated that he is aged about 88 years, he is not aware of the present situation and the keys could not be identified or located in view of the present situation.

3. A memo has been filed seeking permission to break open the lock, which was originally entrusted to the previous receiver and now he has been discharged. The break open of the lock shall take place in the presence of the present receiver will not cause any prejudice, as the memo has been filed by the respective parties. Let the breaking open of the lock shall take place in the presence of all the Advocates on record or in the presence of their representatives. In the event of their absence, a due letter may be sent to all the parties by the present receiver. The Lock shall be opened on 01.11.2025 by



CS No. 564 of 2006

intimating the date and time to all the parties by the present receiver. The Memo filed by the parties is taken on record.

4. The learned counsel for the 2nd defendant fairly submits that though the remuneration is fixed, he has not yet paid. The respective counsels shall ensure the remuneration be paid before break open the keys.

5. List on 12.11.2025.

15-10-2025

kmi



WEB COPY



CS No. 564 of 2006

N.SENTHILKUMAR J.

kmi

CS No. 564 of 2006

15-10-2025