



S.A.No.1335 of 2008

WEB COPY

SATHI KUMAR SUKUMARA KURUP, J.

The suit in O.S.No.203 of 2000 filed by the Plaintiff seeking partition before the learned Additional Sub Judge, Tiruvannamalai was decreed in favour of the Plaintiff as per judgment dated 15.02.2007. Aggrieved, the Defendant-2 in the suit had preferred the Appeal in A.S.No.15 of 2007. After hearing both parties, the learned Principal District Judge, Tiruvannamalai by judgment in A.S.No.15 of 2007 dated 31.03.2008 allowed the Appeal by Defendant-2 and set aside the decree granting partition by the learned Additional Sub Judge, Tiruvannamalai.

2. Aggrieved, the Plaintiff in O.S.No.203 of 2000 and the Respondent in A.S.No.15 of 2007 is the Appellant in the Second Appeal. When the Second Appeal came up for hearing on earlier occasion, the parties sought mediation. Therefore, the records were sent to the learned Principal District Judge to find an amicable settlement between the parties and report the outcome of the mediation. Accordingly, the report has been received by this Court and as per the letter in D.No.735/2023 dated 18.10.2023, it is stated that the subject matter had not been amicably settled in the mediation.



WEB COPY

Therefore, the parties had argued the case. From the records, it is found that

Substantial Questions of Law had not been raised. Substantial Question of law does not arise. What had been raised is procedural law.

3. Post the case under the caption 'for dismissal' on 25.04.2025.

24.04.2025

shl



WEB COPY



SATHI KUMAR SUKUMARA KURUP, J.,

shl

S.A.No.1335 of 2008

24.04.2025